

QUT Digital Repository:
<http://eprints.qut.edu.au/>



Frost, Ray L. and Keeffe, Eloise C. (2009) *Raman spectroscopic study of the tellurite minerals: graemite $\text{CuTeO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and teineite $\text{CuTeO}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$* . *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy*, 40(2). pp. 128-132.

© Copyright 2009 John Wiley and Sons

1 **Raman spectroscopic study of the tellurite minerals: graemite $\text{CuTeO}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and**
2 **teineite $\text{CuTeO}_3\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$**

3
4 **Ray L. Frost* and Eloise C. Keeffe**

5
6 Inorganic Materials Research Program, School of Physical and Chemical Sciences,
7 Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane Queensland 4001,
8 Australia.

9
10
11 **Tellurites may be subdivided according to formula and structure.**
12 **There are five groups based upon the formulae (a) $\text{A}(\text{XO}_3)$, (b)**
13 **$\text{A}(\text{XO}_3)\cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, (c) $\text{A}_2(\text{XO}_3)_3\cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, (d) $\text{A}_2(\text{X}_2\text{O}_5)$ and (e) $\text{A}(\text{X}_3\text{O}_8)$. Raman**
14 **spectroscopy has been used to study the tellurite minerals teineite and**
15 **graemite; both contain water as an essential element of their stability.**
16 **The tellurite ion should show a maximum of six bands. The free**
17 **tellurite ion will have C_{3v} symmetry and four modes, $2A_1$ and $2E$.**
18 **Raman bands for teineite at 739 and 778 cm^{-1} and for graemite at 768**
19 **and 793 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $\nu_1(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ symmetric stretching mode**
20 **whilst bands at 667 and 701 cm^{-1} for teineite and 676 and 708 cm^{-1} for**
21 **graemite are attributed to the $\nu_3(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching**
22 **mode. The intense Raman band at 509 cm^{-1} for both teineite and**
23 **graemite is assigned to the water librational mode. Raman bands for**
24 **teineite at 318 and 347 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}\nu_2(A_1)$ bending**
25 **mode and the two bands for teineite at 384 and 458 cm^{-1} may be**
26 **assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}\nu_4(E)$ bending mode. Prominent Raman bands,**
27 **observed at 2286 , 2854 , 3040 and 3495 cm^{-1} , are attributed to OH**
28 **stretching vibrations. The values for these OH stretching vibrations**
29 **provide hydrogen bond distances of $2.550(6)\text{ \AA}$ (2341 cm^{-1}), $2.610(3)\text{ \AA}$**
30 **(2796 cm^{-1}) and $2.623(2)\text{ \AA}$ (2870 cm^{-1}) which are comparatively short**
31 **for secondary minerals.**
32

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (r.frost@qut.edu.au)

33 **KEYWORDS:** tellurite, graemite, Raman spectroscopy, teineite, rajite, denningite,
34 spiroffite, zemannite, emmonsite

35

36 INTRODUCTION

37

38 Selenites and tellurites may be subdivided according to formula and structure
39 ¹. There are five groups based upon the formulae (a) $A(XO_3)$, (b) $A(XO_3) \cdot xH_2O$, (c)
40 $A_2(XO_3)_3 \cdot xH_2O$, (d) $A_2(X_2O_5)$ and (e) $A(X_3O_8)$. Of the selenites, molybdomenite is
41 an example of type (a); chalcomenite, clinochalcomenite, cobaltomenite and ahlfeldite
42 are examples of type (b) mandarino is an example of type (c). There are no known
43 examples of selenite minerals with formula (d) and (e). The tellurite group, however,
44 consists of minerals that can be categorised into each of the five formula types.
45 Fairbankite, balyakinite, plumbotellurite, mocktezumite, magnolite and smirnite are
46 examples of type (a); graemite, teineite and chaloalite are examples of type (b);
47 zemannite and emmonsite are examples of type (c); rajite and denningite are
48 examples of type (d) and spiroffite, winstanleyite, carlfreisite and pinguite are
49 examples of type (e). A further group, of alternate formula and structure, is one in
50 which the minerals contain water and/or OH units. Of this group are the minerals
51 rodalquilarite, sonoraite, cesbronite, guilleminite, marthozite, demesmaeckerite and
52 haynesite. The two related minerals teineite $Cu(TeO_3) \cdot 2H_2O$ ²⁻⁷ and graemite
53 $Cu(TeO_3) \cdot H_2O$ ^{8,9} are examples of hydrated tellurites. Further information may be
54 obtained by consulting the MINDAT website <http://www.mindat.org/dana.php?a=34>.

55
56 Both teineite and graemite are zeolite-like with a negatively charged
57 framework of $[Cu(TeO_3)]$. They have large open channels of 8.28 Å, parallel to
58 $[0001]$ ¹⁰. The structures of teineite and graemite are based on layers of edge-sharing,
59 Cu octahedra which are interconnected by TeO_3 pyramids. The Cu atom has a (4+1)
60 coordination. The Te atom is coordinated with three O atoms to form pyramids ².
61 Teineite and graemite appear to be isotypes of chalcomenite ^{5,6}.
62 They are related to many other tellurite minerals including emmonsite, mackayite and
63 sonoraite. These minerals are often found together in tellurite mineral deposits ¹¹.
64 Teineite, graemite and some related compounds have been synthesised ^{2,12-14}.
65 Related minerals kinichilite $Mg_{0.5}[Mn^{2+}Fe^{3+}(TeO_3)_3]_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ ¹⁵ and emmonsite,
66 $Fe_2^{3+}Te_3^{4+}O_9 \cdot 2H_2O$ ^{16,17}, are found in the tellurium rich deposits of Mexico ¹⁸. Other

67 tellurite minerals are cliffordite $UTe_3^{4+}O_9$ ^{19,20} and keystoneite
68 $Mg_{0.5}[Ni^{2+}Fe^{3+}(TeO_3)_3]_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ ^{21,22}. The importance of these tellurium bearing
69 minerals is their open framework structures with negatively charged surfaces and
70 zeolitic pores²³. The minerals fit into the Dana Classification 8th edition as
71 $A_2(XO_3)_3 \cdot xH_2O$ [web site <http://www.mindat.org/dana.php?a=34&b=3>].
72

Comment [QSOE1]: Is it possible to put these somewhere into the list of tellurites of formula type at e start?

73 Information on the molecular structure of minerals can be obtained by Raman
74 spectroscopy which often cannot be obtained by other methods.²⁴⁻³⁴ Diagenetically
75 related minerals such as graemite and teineite lend themselves to study by Raman
76 spectroscopy. The objective of this research is to present Raman of the natural
77 tellurites, graemite and teineite and discuss the Raman spectra from a molecular
78 structure point of view.

80 **EXPERIMENTAL**

82 ***Minerals***

83
84 The first teineite mineral sample was sourced from the Moctezuma Mine, Mexico
85 whilst a second sample, the type mineral was sourced from the Teine Mine, Japan
86 and a third sample was sourced from Cole Shaft, Arizona, USA. The graemite
87 mineral sample was also sourced from Cole Shaft, Arizona, USA. The two minerals
88 are related due to graemeite being a partially dehydrated teineite. The compositions
89 of these minerals have been reported by Anthony *et al.* (page 696 (teineite) and 260
90 (graemite))³⁵.

94 ***Raman microprobe spectroscopy***

95
96 The crystals of the minerals were placed and orientated on the stage of an
97 Olympus BHSM microscope which was equipped with 10x and 50x objectives as part
98 of a Renishaw 1000 Raman microscope system. The system also includes a
99 monochromator, filter system and Charge Coupled Device (CCD). Raman spectra
100 were excited by a HeNe laser (633 nm) at a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} in the range between

101 100 and 4000 cm^{-1} . Further details and the application of Raman spectroscopy to the
102 study of minerals has been published by the corresponding authors. ³⁶⁻³⁹

103

104 Spectroscopic manipulation such as baseline adjustment, smoothing and
105 normalisation were performed using the Spectracalc software package GRAMS
106 (Galactic Industries Corporation, NH, USA). Band component analysis was
107 undertaken using the Jandel 'Peakfit' software package, which enabled the type of
108 fitting function to be selected and allows specific parameters to be fixed or varied
109 accordingly. Band fitting was done using a Gauss-Lorentz, cross-product function
110 with the minimum number of component bands used for the fitting process. The
111 Gauss-Lorentz ratio was maintained at values greater than 0.7 and fitting was
112 undertaken until reproducible results were obtained with squared correlations (r^2)
113 greater than 0.995.

114

115

116 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

117

118 Farmer ⁴⁰ states that very little research has been undertaken on the vibrational
119 spectroscopy of selenates/selenites or tellurates/tellurites. No minerals with the
120 selenate ion SeO_4^{2-} have been discovered and reported ⁴¹. The tellurite ion should
121 show a maximum of six bands. The free ion will have C_{3v} symmetry and four modes,
122 $2A_1$ and $2E$. Farmer, based upon the work of Siebert ^{42,43}, defines the spectrum of
123 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ as $\nu_1 (A_1)$ 758 cm^{-1} , $\nu_2 (A_1)$ 364 cm^{-1} , $\nu_3 (E)$ 703 cm^{-1} and $\nu_4 (E)$ 326 cm^{-1} ⁴⁰.
124 The comment may be made, that there is very little published on the vibrational
125 spectroscopy of tellurite and/or tellurate minerals, especially the Raman spectroscopy
126 of these minerals.

127

128 The Raman spectrum of teinite in the 500 to 900 cm^{-1} region is shown in Fig.
129 1. A broad spectral profile with Raman bands at 667, 701, 739 and 778 cm^{-1} are
130 found. The bands at 739 and 778 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $\nu_1 (\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ symmetric
131 stretching mode. The Raman bands at 667 and 701 cm^{-1} are attributed to the ν_3
132 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching mode. The Raman spectrum of the graemite
133 sample is shown in Fig. 2. The Raman spectra of the two minerals are very similar
134 which is not unexpected since the mineral graemite is a partially dehydrated teinite.

135 The two Raman bands at 768 and 793 cm^{-1} are assigned to the ν_1 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ symmetric
136 stretching mode and the two bands at 676 and 708 cm^{-1} are attributed to the ν_3
137 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching mode.

138

139 A comparison may be made with other tellurite containing minerals such as rajite,
140 denningite, zemmanite and emmonsite. Two Raman bands for rajite, observed at 754
141 and 731 cm^{-1} , are assigned to the ν_1 $(\text{Te}_2\text{O}_5)^{2-}$ symmetric stretching mode. The two
142 bands at 652 and 603 cm^{-1} are assigned to the ν_3 $(\text{Te}_2\text{O}_5)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching
143 mode. An intense band observed at 734 cm^{-1} for denningite is attributed to the ν_1
144 $(\text{Te}_2\text{O}_5)^{2-}$ symmetric stretching mode. The Raman band of denningite at 674 cm^{-1} is
145 assigned to the ν_3 $(\text{Te}_2\text{O}_5)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching mode. Two Raman bands for
146 zemannite are observed at 745 and 647 cm^{-1} . These bands are assigned to the ν_1
147 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ symmetric stretching mode and the ν_3 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching
148 mode respectively. Two Raman bands, observed at 763 and 791 cm^{-1} for emmonsite,
149 are assigned to the ν_1 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ symmetric stretching mode whilst the Raman bands
150 displayed at 679 and 567 cm^{-1} are assigned to ν_3 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching
151 mode.

152

153 The low wavenumber regions of the teineite and graemite spectra, 100 to 600
154 cm^{-1} , are shown in Fig. 3 and 4. This spectral region displays the bands which are
155 attributable to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ bending modes and water librational modes. The intense
156 band at 509 cm^{-1} with a shoulder at 504 cm^{-1} is assigned to water librational mode. In
157 the Raman spectrum of graemite there is an intense band at 507 cm^{-1} which is
158 assigned to the water librational mode. The two bands for teineite at 318 and 347 cm^{-1}
159 are assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ ν_2 (A_1) bending mode and the two bands for teineite at
160 384 and 458 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ ν_4 (E) bending mode. In this spectral
161 region, the Raman spectrum of graemite appears to be different to that of teineite.
162 Two low intensity bands in the Raman spectrum of graemite at 314 and 358 cm^{-1} may
163 be assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ ν_2 (A_1) bending mode. These bands are of very low
164 intensity. One possibility is that the bands are due to teinite. The intense bands of
165 graemite at 411, 438 and 471 cm^{-1} may be assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ ν_4 (E) bending
166 mode. The sharp Raman band for teineite at 235 cm^{-1} and the sharp bands for
167 graemite at 257 and 291 cm^{-1} may be attributed to the CuO stretching vibrations. A
168 comparison may be made with other tellurite containing minerals such as rajite,

169 denningite, zemmanite and emmonsite. Raman bands for rajite, observed at (346,
170 370) and 438 cm^{-1} , are assigned to the $(\text{Te}_2\text{O}_5)^{2-} \nu_2 (A_1)$ bending mode and $\nu_4 (E)$
171 bending modes. The very weak Raman bands of denningite at 450 and 479 cm^{-1} are
172 assigned to the $(\text{Te}_2\text{O}_5)^{2-} \nu_4 (E)$ bending modes and the bands at 349 and 381 cm^{-1} are
173 assigned to the $(\text{Te}_2\text{O}_5)^{2-} \nu_2 (A_1)$ bending modes. Raman bands are observed at 372
174 and 408 cm^{-1} for zemmanite and 397 and 414 cm^{-1} for emmonsite, which may be due
175 to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-} \nu_2 (A_1)$ bending mode.

176

177 The Raman spectrum of teineite in the 2100 to 3700 cm^{-1} region is displayed
178 in Fig. 5. Prominent bands are observed at 2286, 2854, 3040 and 3495 cm^{-1} . The
179 Raman bands are not symmetrical and additional components may be observed at
180 2641 and 3139 cm^{-1} . The band at 3495 cm^{-1} appears to be quite distinct and the
181 observation of multiple bands indicates that the water molecules in the teineite
182 structure are not equivalent. The band at 3495 cm^{-1} is very sharp may be well due to
183 OH units in the teineite structure. This band is not observed in the Raman spectrum
184 of graemite although a band component at 3450 cm^{-1} is observed. The bands listed
185 above are attributed to OH stretching vibrations; which are formed by the interaction
186 of the protons with the oxygen of the selenite units. Studies have shown a strong
187 correlation between OH stretching frequencies and both O \cdots O bond distances and
188 H \cdots O hydrogen bond distances⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷. Libowitzky showed that a regression function
189 can be employed relating the hydroxyl stretching frequencies with regression
190 coefficients better than 0.96 using infrared spectroscopy. The function is described

191 as: $\nu_1 = (3592 - 304) \times 109^{\frac{-d(O-O)}{0.1321}} \text{cm}^{-1}$. Thus OH \cdots O hydrogen bond distances may be
192 calculated using the Libowitzky empirical function. The values for the OH stretching
193 vibrations listed above provide hydrogen bond distances of 2.544(9) Å (2286 cm^{-1}),
194 2.620(3) Å (2854 cm^{-1}), 2.658(7) Å (3040 cm^{-1}) and 2.988(4) Å (3495 cm^{-1}) which are
195 very short, compared with that of many secondary minerals. Normally, large
196 hydrogen bond distances which are present in minerals such as perhamite, can also be
197 seen in other mixed anion minerals such as peisleyite, where the distances range
198 between 3.052(5) and 2.683(6) Å. Such hydrogen bond distances are typical of
199 secondary minerals. A range of hydrogen bond distances are observed from
200 reasonably strong to weak hydrogen bonding. This range of hydrogen bonding
201 contributes to the stability of the mineral. In the case of teineite, the proton-selenite

202 interactions contribute to its stability, as a tellurite mineral. In the Raman spectrum of
203 graemite, three Raman bands are observed at 2937, 3268 and 3450 cm^{-1} . These values
204 give rise to hydrogen bond calculations of 2.636(1), 2.729 (1) and 2.838 (0) Å. The
205 values of the hydrogen bond distances are similar to that found for teineite and are
206 also of a similar magnitude.

207

208

209 **CONCLUSIONS**

210

211 The Raman spectra of the hydrated tellurite minerals teineite, $\text{Cu}(\text{TeO}_3) \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and
212 graemite, $\text{Cu}(\text{TeO}_3) \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, have been studied using Raman spectroscopy. Observed
213 bands were assigned to the stretching and bending vibrations of the tellurite anion,
214 $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$, hydroxyl and water units in the teineite and graemite structures. A
215 comparison of the Raman spectra of the minerals, which are hydrated hydroxy
216 tellurite minerals, with the Raman spectra of other tellurite minerals has been made.

217

218 Raman bands for teineite at 739 and 778 cm^{-1} and for for graemite at 768 and 793
219 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $\nu_1 (\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ symmetric stretching mode, whilst bands at 667
220 and 701 cm^{-1} for teineite and at 676 and 708 cm^{-1} for graemite are attributed to the the
221 $\nu_3 (\text{TeO}_3)^{2-}$ antisymmetric stretching mode. The intense Raman band at 509 cm^{-1} for
222 both teineite and graemite is assigned to the water librational mode. Raman bands for
223 teineite at 318 and 347 cm^{-1} are assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-} \nu_2 (A_1)$ bending mode and the
224 two bands for teineite at 384 and 458 cm^{-1} may be assigned to the $(\text{TeO}_3)^{2-} \nu_4 (E)$
225 bending mode. Prominent Raman bands, observed at 2286, 2854, 3040 and 3495 cm^{-1}
226 are attributed to OH stretching vibrations providing hydrogen bond distances of
227 2.550(6) Å (2341 cm^{-1}), 2.610(3) Å (2796 cm^{-1}) and 2.623(2) Å (2870 cm^{-1}) which are
228 comparatively short for secondary minerals.

229

230

231 **Acknowledgements**

232

233 The financial and infra-structure support of the Queensland University of
234 Technology Inorganic Materials Research Program of the School of Physical and

235 Chemical Sciences is gratefully acknowledged. The Australian Research Council
236 (ARC) is thanked for funding the instrumentation.

237

238

239

240 **References**

241

- 242 1. Dana JD *Dana's Manual of Mineralogy*, by W. E. Ford. 13th edition, entirely revised and
 243 *rewritten*.
- 244 2. Effenberger H *Tschermaks Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen* **1977**, 24, 287-
 245 98.
- 246 3. Du Ry P, Fouassin M, Jedwab J, Van Tassel R *Annales de la Societe Geologique de Belgique*
 247 **1976**, 99, 47-60.
- 248 4. Kato A, Sakurai K-i *Mineralogical Journal* **1968**, 5, 285-90.
- 249 5. Zemann A, Zemann J *Acta Cryst.* **1962**, 15, 698-702.
- 250 6. Zemann A, Zemann J *Beitr. Mineral. u. Petrog.* **1960**, 7, 436-8.
- 251 7. Yosimura T *J. Faculty Sci. Hokkaido Imp. Univ.* **1939**, 4, 465-70.
- 252 8. Moreau J *Bulletin de la Societe Francaise de Mineralogie et de Cristallographie* **1975**, 98,
 253 263-8.
- 254 9. Williams SA, Matter P, III *Mineralogical Record* **1975**, 6, 32-4.
- 255 10. Mandarino JA, Matz E, Williams SJ *Canadian Mineralogist* **1976**, 14, Pt. 3, 387-90.
- 256 11. Anthony JW, Bideaux RA, Bladh KW, Nichols MC *Handbook of Mineralogy, Volume V,*
 257 *Borates, Carbonates, Sulfates*; Mineral Data Publishing: Tuscon, Arizona, U. S. A., 2003; Vol. 5.
- 258 12. Miletich R *Monatshefte fuer Chemie* **1995**, 126, 417-.
- 259 13. Wildner M *Mineralogy and Petrology* **1993**, 48, 215-25.
- 260 14. Bhuvanesh NS, Halasyamani PS *Inorg Chem FIELD Full Journal Title:Inorganic chemistry*
 261 **2001**, 40, 1404-5.
- 262 15. Miletich R *European Journal of Mineralogy* **1995**, 7, 509-23.
- 263 16. Hillebrand WF *American Journal of Science* **1904**, 18, 433-34.
- 264 17. Hillebrand WF *American Journal of Science* **1904**, 18, 433-4.
- 265 18. Gaines RV *Univ. Nacl. Autonoma Mex., Inst. Geol. Bol.* **1965**, 75, 1-15.
- 266 19. Fischer R, Schlatti M, Zemann J *Anzeiger der Oesterreichischen Akademie der*
 267 *Wissenschaften, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse* **1969**, 5, 93-4.
- 268 20. Gaines RV *American Mineralogist* **1969**, 54, 697-701.
- 269 21. Roberts AC, Ercit TS, Criddle AJ, Jones GC, Williams RS, Cureton FF, II, Jensen MC
 270 *Mineralogical Magazine* **1994**, 58, 417-24.
- 271 22. Roberts AC, Bonardi M, Grice JD, Ercit TS, Pinch WW *Canadian Mineralogist* **1989**, 27,
 272 129-31.
- 273 23. Li J, Makovicky E *Neues Jahrbuch fuer Mineralogie, Abhandlungen* **2001**, 176, 269-297.
- 274 24. Palmer SJ, Frost RL, Ayoko G, Nguyen T *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2008**, 39, 395-401.
- 275 25. Frost RL, Bouzaid JM *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38, 873-879.
- 276 26. Frost RL, Bouzaid JM, Martens WN, Reddy BJ *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38,
 277 135-141.
- 278 27. Frost RL, Cejka J *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38, 1488-1493.
- 279 28. Frost RL, Cejka J, Ayoko GA, Weier ML *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38, 1311-
 280 1319.
- 281 29. Frost RL, Cejka J, Weier ML *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38, 460-466.
- 282 30. Frost RL, Cejka J, Weier ML, Martens WN, Ayoko GA *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**,
 283 38, 398-409.
- 284 31. Frost RL, Dickfos MJ *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38, 1516-1522.
- 285 32. Frost RL, Palmer SJ, Bouzaid JM, Reddy BJ *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38, 68-77.
- 286 33. Frost RL, Pinto C *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2007**, 38, 841-845.
- 287 34. Frost RL, Weier ML, Williams PA, Leverett P, Klopogge JT *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy*
 288 **2007**, 38, 574-583.
- 289 35. Anthony JW, Bideaux RA, Bladh KW, Nichols MC *Handbook of Mineralogy*; Mineral Data
 290 Publishing: Tuscon, Arizona, USA, 2000; Vol. 4.
- 291 36. Frost RL, Cejka J, Ayoko G *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2008**, 39, 495-502.
- 292 37. Frost RL, Cejka J, Ayoko GA, Dickfos MJ *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2008**, 39, 374-
 293 379.
- 294 38. Frost RL, Dickfos MJ, Cejka J *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2008**, 39, 582-586.
- 295 39. Frost RL, Hales MC, Wain DL *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **2008**, 39, 108-114.
- 296 40. Farmer VC, Editor *Mineralogical Society Monograph 4: The Infrared Spectra of Minerals*,
 297 1974.
- 298 41. Farmer VC *Mineralogical Society Monograph 4: The Infrared Spectra of Minerals*, 1974.

- 299 42. Siebert H *Anwendungen der Schwingungsspektroskopie in der Anorganischen Chemie*
300 (*Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie in Einzeldarstellungen, Bd. 7*) (*Application of Vibrational*
301 *Spectroscopy in Inorganic Chemistry (Monographs in Inorganic and General Chemistry, Vol. 7)*),
302 1966.
- 303 43. Siebert H *Z. anorg. u. allgem. Chem.* **1959**, 301, 161-70.
- 304 44. Emsley J *Chemical Society Reviews* **1980**, 9, 91-124.
- 305 45. Lutz H *Structure and Bonding (Berlin, Germany)* **1995**, 82, 85-103.
- 306 46. Mikenda W *Journal of Molecular Structure* **1986**, 147, 1-15.
- 307 47. Novak A *Structure and Bonding (Berlin)* **1974**, 18, 177-216.
- 308
- 309
- 310

List of Figs

311

312

313 **Fig. 1 Raman spectrum of teineite in the 600 to 900 cm⁻¹ region**

314

315 **Fig. 2 Raman spectrum of graemite in the 600 to 900 cm⁻¹ region**

316

317 **Fig. 3 Raman spectrum of teineite in the 100 to 600 cm⁻¹ region**

318

319 **Fig. 4 Raman spectrum of graemite in the 100 to 600 cm⁻¹ region**

320

321 **Fig. 5 Raman spectrum of teineite in the 2100 to 3700 cm⁻¹ region**


322

323 **Fig. 6 Raman spectrum of graemite in the 2500 to 3700 cm⁻¹ region**

324

325

326




327

328 **Fig. 1 teinite**

329


330



331

332

333 **Fig. 2**




334

335

336 **Fig. 3**

337



339


340

341 **Fig. 4**

342

343

344




345

346

347 **Fig. 5**

348



349

350

351 **Fig. 6**