Choroidal thickness in myopic and non-myopic children assessed with enhanced depth imaging optical coherence tomography
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Abstract:

**Purpose:** To examine choroidal thickness (ChT) and its topographical variation across the posterior pole in myopic and non-myopic children.

**Methods:** One hundred and four children aged 10-15 years of age (mean age 13.1 ± 1.4 years) had ChT measured using enhanced depth imaging optical coherence tomography (OCT). Forty one children were myopic (mean spherical equivalent -2.4 ± 1.5 D) and 63 non-myopic (mean +0.3 ± 0.3 D). Two series of 6 radial OCT line scans centred on the fovea were assessed for each child. Subfoveal ChT and ChT across a series of parafoveal zones over the central 6mm of the posterior pole were determined through manual image segmentation.

**Results:** Subfoveal ChT was significantly thinner in myopes (mean 303 ± 79 µm) compared to non-myopes (mean 359 ± 77 µm) (p<0.0001). Multiple regression analysis revealed both refractive error (r = 0.39, p<0.001) and age (r = 0.21, p = 0.02) were positively associated with subfoveal ChT. ChT also exhibited significant topographical variations, with the choroid being thicker in more central regions. The thinnest choroid was typically observed in nasal (mean 286 ± 77 µm) and inferior-nasal (306 ± 79 µm) locations, and the thickest in superior (346 ± 79 µm) and superior-temporal (341 ± 74 µm) locations. The difference in ChT between myopic and non-myopic children was significantly greater in central foveal regions compared to more peripheral regions (>3 mm diameter) (p<0.001).

**Conclusions:** Myopic children have significantly thinner choroids compared to non-myopic children of similar age, particularly in central foveal regions. The magnitude of difference in choroidal thickness associated with myopia appears greater than would be predicted by a simple passive choroidal thinning with axial elongation.
Introduction:

There is substantial evidence from research with animal models\textsuperscript{1-6} and humans,\textsuperscript{7-16} that the development of refractive errors is associated with changes in the structural characteristics of the choroid. Studies from a range of different animal species, including chicks,\textsuperscript{1,2} macaque monkeys\textsuperscript{3} and marmosets,\textsuperscript{4} indicate that alterations in choroidal thickness can precede and accompany the development of myopic and hyperopic refractive errors. When experimental myopia is induced in young animals with either negative spectacle lenses (that impose hyperopic defocus) or through the deprivation of form vision, the initial response to this treatment involves a rapid thinning of the choroid, followed by an increase in eye growth.\textsuperscript{1-4} Conversely, if hyperopic refractive errors are induced experimentally with positive powered lenses (myopic defocus) then a rapid increase in choroidal thickness followed by a slowing of eye growth is typically observed.\textsuperscript{1-4} Pharmacological treatments, such as dopaminergic agonists\textsuperscript{5} and anti-muscarinic agents,\textsuperscript{6} that inhibit eye growth in chicks have also been shown to result in a transient thickening of the choroid.

Recent cross-sectional studies utilising optical coherence tomography (OCT) to image and measure the choroid in adult human subjects with normal ocular health (ages ranging from 19 to 93 years), have demonstrated that along with age, refractive error is one of the major factors influencing choroidal thickness.\textsuperscript{7-11} These studies, examining adults with diverse refractive errors (ranging from +7 D to -20 D, with the majority of eyes exhibiting refractive errors between +3 D and -3 D) have typically noted choroidal thickness to be thinner in myopic adults compared to emmetropes, and to be thicker in hyperopic adults.\textsuperscript{7-11} Studies investigating
choroidal thickness in adults with high levels of myopia (≥ 6 D) have reported marked choroidal thinning in these individuals, and it has been postulated that these changes may be a contributing factor to retinal pathology and vision loss associated with high myopia.\textsuperscript{12-16}

Although myopia can sometimes begin in adulthood,\textsuperscript{17,18} it most commonly develops and progresses in childhood.\textsuperscript{19,20} Therefore examining choroidal thickness and myopia in a pediatric population may provide further insights into the potential role of the choroid in human refractive error development, since this provides an assessment of choroidal characteristics closer to the time that refractive errors such as myopia most commonly develop and progress.\textsuperscript{19,20} A small number of recent studies have used OCT imaging to examine choroidal thickness in pediatric subjects,\textsuperscript{21-24} however these studies have either not included participants with significant refractive errors,\textsuperscript{23} or have only included limited numbers of myopic children.\textsuperscript{21,22,24} Therefore, in this study we aimed to examine the thickness of the choroid and its topographical variation across the posterior pole in a pediatric population that included a substantial number of myopic children.

**Methods:**

**Subjects and Procedures**

One hundred and four children aged between 10 and 15 years of age (mean age ± SD 13.1 ± 1.4 years) participated in this study. Approval from the Queensland University of Technology human research ethics committee was obtained prior to commencement of the study, and written informed consent was provided by all
participating children and their parents. All participants were treated in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Prior to enrolment in the study, all children underwent an ophthalmic screening examination to determine their visual acuity, non-cycloplegic manifest subjective refraction and ocular health status. All children enrolled in the study had best corrected visual acuity in both eyes of 0.00 logMAR or better, no evidence of amblyopia or strabismus, no evidence or history of significant ocular disease, surgery or injury, and all reported to be in good general health. No children wore rigid contact lenses (including orthokeratology), and none were under current myopia control treatment (e.g. progressive addition lenses, atropine etc). Four children reported wearing soft disposable contact lenses, but were instructed to remove their lenses on the day of the examination.

Participants were classified based upon the subjective, non-cycloplegic spherical equivalent refractive error (SEQ) of their right eye as being myopic (SEQ of -0.75 or less) or non-myopic (SEQ between +1.00 to -0.50). Forty one subjects were classified as myopes (mean SEQ -2.39 ± 1.51 D), and 63 as non-myopes (mean SEQ +0.33 ± 0.31 D). The mean cylindrical refraction was -0.39 ± 0.49 D in the myopes and -0.10 ± 0.21 D in the non-myopes. The two groups of children were well matched for age (mean age of the myopes was 13.0 ± 1.5 years and of the non-myopes was 13.1 ± 1.2 years) and gender (the myopic and non-myopic populations consisted of 49% and 46% male children respectively). All children classified as myopes exhibited unaided visual acuity of 0.06 logMAR or worse, and all had been previously prescribed distance refractive corrections that were worn on either a part-time or full-time basis.
All measurements were carried out between 2 pm and 5 pm to limit the potential confounding influence of diurnal variations in choroidal thickness. Following the initial screening examination, each child had spectral domain OCT chorio-retinal images of their right eye captured using the Heidelberg Spectralis instrument (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The Heidelberg Spectralis uses a super luminescent diode of central wavelength 870 nm for OCT imaging, with an axial resolution of 3.9 µm and transverse resolution of 14 µm in retinal tissue, and a scanning speed of 40,000 A-scans per second. For each participating child, 2 series of 6 radial OCT scan lines each separated by 30° and centred on the fovea were captured using the instrument’s Enhanced Depth Imaging (EDI) mode. EDI focuses the instrument closer to the posterior eye than the standard imaging mode in order to enhance the visibility of the choroid in the OCT scans. The instrument's automatic real-time eye tracking function was utilised and each radial OCT image was the average of 30 scans. Each scan line was 30° long and was captured using the instrument’s high resolution scanning protocol, which results in a B-scan image consisting of 1536 by 496 pixels. Figure 1a illustrates the scanning protocol used, with an example OCT image from a representative subject. Consistent with previous pediatric retinal imaging studies, only scans with quality index (QI) values greater than 20 dB were included for analysis (the mean QI from all measurements was 33 ± 3 dB). A small number of children (n=5, 3 non-myopes and 2 myopes) were unable to maintain stable fixation for long enough to allow all 6 radial scan lines to be captured, and so a single horizontal scan image (the average of 30 B-scans) centred on the fovea was collected and analysed for these subjects. Each child also had their ocular dimensions (including central corneal thickness, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness and axial length) measured using an optical biometer (Lenstar LS...
900, Haag Streit AG, Koeniz, Switzerland) based upon the principles of optical low
coherence reflectometry.²⁹

Data analysis
Following data collection, the OCT images were exported from the instrument and
analysed using custom written software. Each of the two sets of scans for each
subject were analysed in order to segment the outer surface of the retinal pigment
epithelium (RPE), and the inner surface of the chorio-scleral interface (CSI), to
determine choroidal thickness across the 30° width of each scan. The RPE was
initially segmented using an automated method based on graph theory.³⁰ An
experienced masked observer then manually segmented the CSI using a method
that has been described in detail previously,²³ and involves the observer manually
selecting a series of points along the CSI. The software then automatically fits a
smooth function (spline fit) to these points to define the boundary. Additionally, the
observer also checked the integrity of the automated segmentation of the RPE and
manually corrected any segmentation errors. The centre of the fovea, defined as the
deepest point in the central foveal pit, was also marked in each scan by the
observer.

Following the segmentation of the OCT scans, the choroidal thickness data from
each scan had the transverse scaling corrected in order to account for ocular
magnification effects, based upon each participant’s biometric and refraction
measurements. The length in millimetres of each 30° scan was determined based
upon the distance from the retina to the eye’s second nodal point, assuming a
spherical retina. The position of the second nodal point for each individual subject was determined with the ‘step along’ method, using each subject’s measured ocular refraction and ocular biometry measures, applying the methods outlined by Bennett to determine the equivalent power of the eye and crystalline lens.

The thickness data was subsequently analysed to determine the subfoveal choroidal thickness, and the average choroidal thickness across a series of concentric zones around the fovea, including the central foveal zone (central 1 mm diameter), the inner macula zone (from an inner diameter of 1 mm to an outer diameter of 3 mm) and the outer macula zone (inner diameter of 3 mm outer diameter of 6 mm) (Figure 1b). This analysis provided the average thickness at 8 locations (temporal, superior temporal, superior, superior nasal, nasal, inferior nasal, inferior and inferior temporal), across each of the 3 zones (central fovea, inner macula and outer macula).

To assess the reliability and repeatability of the thickness data derived from the manual segmentation of the OCT images, the scans from 20 randomly selected subjects were analysed twice by the observer, who was masked to the choroidal thickness results from the initial analysis. The subfoveal and parafoveal (central fovea, inner macula and outer macula zones) thickness data were analysed using the methods described by Bland and Altman. Since there appeared to be a trend for the differences between the two analyses to be slightly greater for thicker choroids, the mean differences and limits of agreement were determined based upon the ratios of the differences between the two analyses.
The influence of refractive error and gender upon subfoveal choroidal thickness was examined with a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Additionally, a stepwise multiple regression was performed to examine the influence of demographic (age and gender) and biometric ocular factors (spherical equivalent refractive error, axial length, central corneal thickness, anterior chamber depth and lens thickness) upon the subfoveal choroidal thickness measures. To examine the topographic distribution of choroidal thickness across the posterior pole, a repeated measures ANOVA was performed with two within-subjects factors [including choroidal location (temporal, superior-temporal, superior, superior-nasal, nasal, inferior-nasal, inferior or inferior-temporal), and choroidal zone (central foveal, inner macula and outer macula)] and one between-subjects factor (refractive error group). The five children who exhibited poor fixation during OCT imaging were excluded from the topographical analysis of choroidal thickness. All results presented in the manuscript represent the mean ± SD.

**Results:**

**Observer repeatability**

Bland-Altman\textsuperscript{34} analysis of intra-observer repeatability for the 20 scans that were analysed twice by the observer revealed excellent repeatability for the determination of both subfoveal and parafoveal choroidal thickness, comparable with previous reports of intra-observer repeatability.\textsuperscript{35} Repeatability was similar for the subfoveal and parafoveal measures, so these were considered together. Choroidal thickness from the 2 repeated analyses appeared to agree closely, with the mean difference being smaller than the axial resolution of the instrument. The mean and 95% limits
of agreement for the difference between the 2 repeated analyses of choroidal thickness was -1.6 ± 7.9 µm (95% limits of agreement +14.1 to -17.2 µm). Figure 2 illustrates the agreement between the two analyses. The differences between the two repeated analyses exhibited a trend to be slightly larger for thicker choroids.

**Subfoveal choroidal thickness**

The average subfoveal choroidal thickness, along with an overview of the other ocular biometric measures is presented in Table 1. The myopic children (mean subfoveal choroidal thickness 303 ± 79 µm) exhibited a significantly thinner subfoveal choroid than the non-myopic children (mean 359 ± 77 µm) (p<0.001). There was no significant effect of gender found on the subfoveal choroidal thickness measures (p = 0.77), and no significant refractive error by gender interactions (p > 0.05). Most of the other measured ocular biometrics examined (Table 1) also exhibited significant differences associated with refractive error, except for central corneal thickness (p>0.05). Myopic children exhibited deeper anterior chambers (mean difference 0.24 mm, p<0.001), thinner crystalline lenses (mean difference 0.15 mm, p<0.001), and longer vitreous chambers (mean difference 1.12 mm, p <0.001) and axial lengths (mean difference 1.20 mm, p<0.001) compared to the non-myopic children. The largest differences between myopes and non-myopes were found in terms of axial length and vitreous chamber depth, confirming an axial origin of the myopia.

Stepwise multiple regression analysis revealed that refractive error and age were both significant predictors of subfoveal choroidal thickness (Table 2, Figure 3). The
regression model was highly statistically significant ($p<0.001$), with a correlation $r^2$ of 0.18. Of the significant predictor variables, refractive error exhibited a significant positive association (slope $+19.4\, \mu m/D$), and appeared to have the strongest relationship with subfoveal choroidal thickness (including refractive error in the model increased the $r^2$ of the model by 0.13, $p<0.001$). Age exhibited a significant positive association (slope $+13.1\, \mu m/year$) with subfoveal choroidal thickness, and its inclusion in the model increased the $r^2$ by 0.05 ($p=0.02$). Univariate analyses revealed a significant negative association between both axial length ($r = -0.306$, slope $= -0.004$, $p = 0.002$) and vitreous chamber depth ($r = -0.310$, slope $= -0.004$, $p = 0.001$) with subfoveal choroidal thickness, but these predictors were not significant in the final multiple regression model due to the substantial co-linearity between axial length, vitreous chamber depth and refractive error.

To explore the potential mechanism underlying the thinner choroid observed in the myopic children, we used a similar approach to that described by Troilo et al.$^4$ modelling the choroid as an iso-volumetric shell of a sphere to estimate the predicted change in choroidal thickness that would occur based upon a passive stretch due to the longer axial length of the myopic children. This modelling estimated that the measured average difference in axial length between the myopic and non-myopic children (1.2 mm) would lead to a 21 $\mu m$ thinner choroid in the myopic children (based upon passive stretch alone), which is substantially less than the measured 56 $\mu m$ thinner subfoveal choroid in the myopic children. We also examined the choroidal thickness of a subset of non-myopic children with longer axial lengths than average (24 mm or greater) and for these 6 children with a mean axial length of 24.46 $\pm$ 0.36 mm, a mean subfoveal choroidal thickness of 401 $\pm$ 98 $\mu m$ was found.
(−98 µm thicker than the 41 myopic children who also had a mean axial length of 24.46 mm). These findings suggest that mechanisms additional to a simple passive stretch are involved in the differences observed between the myopic and non-myopic children.

**Topographical choroidal thickness**

Figure 4 and Table 3 illustrate the topographical distribution of choroidal thickness across the central 6 mm of the posterior pole for all subjects with complete sets of OCT images (39 myopes and 60 non-myopes). Significant changes were observed in choroidal thickness as a function of topographical zone, with the central foveal zone (mean thickness 339 ± 82 µm) being significantly thicker than the inner macula zone (330 ± 78 µm), and the outer macula zone (302 ± 66 µm) (p<0.001 for all comparisons). Choroidal thickness also changed significantly with measurement location, with the thinnest choroid observed in the nasal (mean of all zones 286 ± 77 µm) and inferior-nasal (306 ± 79 µm) locations, and the thickest choroid in the superior (346 ± 79 µm) and superior-temporal (341 ± 74 µm) locations. A significant choroidal location by zone interaction was observed, as the rate of change in choroidal thickness from central to more peripheral zones varied with location. For the superior and superior-temporal locations, choroidal thickness did not change significantly between the central foveal and outer macula zones (p>0.05), whereas the outer macula zone was significantly thinner than the central foveal zone for all other locations (p<0.05 for all comparisons).

Significant differences in choroidal thickness across the posterior pole associated with refractive error were also found (Table 3, Figure 5). For all zones and locations
considered together, on average the choroid was significantly thinner in the myopic children (mean 294 ± 71 µm) compared to the non-myopic children (mean 343 ± 71 µm) (p<0.001). Pairwise comparisons revealed the myopic children to have significantly thinner choroids at each of the measured locations (p<0.05 for all comparisons). A significant measurement zone by refraction interaction was also observed (p<0.001), due to the difference in thickness between the myopic and non-myopic children being greater in more central compared to the more peripheral zones (the choroid of the myopic children was 58 µm thinner than the non-myopic children in the central foveal zone, compared to 37 µm thinner in the outer macula zone). This difference indicates a greater rate of choroidal thinning from central to more peripheral zones in the non-myopic (mean difference 44 ± 26 µm between the central foveal zone and the outer macula zone) compared to the myopic children (mean difference 23 ± 24 µm) (p<0.001). This is further illustrated in Figure 5b, that shows the topographical distribution of the average difference in choroidal thickness between myopes and non-myopes across the central posterior pole. There was no significant location by refraction interaction (p= 0.2), suggesting the differences between the myopic and non-myopic children were similar for the 8 different locations that were assessed.

**Discussion:**

This study demonstrates that myopic children have significantly thinner choroids (on average by 50 µm) compared to non-myopic children of similar age. Previous studies of choroidal thickness in childhood have either not included subjects with significant refractive error,\textsuperscript{23} or have only included small numbers of myopic
participants,\textsuperscript{21,22,24} which has precluded a detailed examination of the influence of myopia upon choroidal thickness in childhood. A thinning of the choroid associated with myopia has been demonstrated in a number of studies examining adult subjects,\textsuperscript{7-11} however ours is the first study to confirm this finding in a substantial population of pediatric subjects. A thinner choroid in adults with myopia, and particularly the marked choroidal thinning reported in highly myopic adults\textsuperscript{12-16} provides evidence that a thinning of the choroid is a long term correlate of myopic axial elongation of the eye. Since myopia typically begins in childhood, our findings suggests that choroidal thinning occurs relatively early in the refractive error development process. A thinner choroid in childhood myopia is also qualitatively consistent with animal research that reports choroidal thinning associated with experimental manipulations resulting in increased eye growth and the development of myopia in young animals.\textsuperscript{1-4}

Although further research is required to better understand the exact mechanism underlying the thinner choroid observed in myopic children, the magnitude of the difference that we have observed between myopes and non-myopes appears to be greater than would be explained by a simple mechanical stretch of choroidal tissue with axial elongation. The subfoveal choroid of the myopic children was on average 16\% thinner than the non-myopic children, whereas modelling to estimate the change in choroidal thickness that would occur as a result of passive stretch due to axial elongation in the myopic children predicted only a 6\% thinner choroid. This suggests that other physiological choroidal changes associated with myopia, beyond a simple passive stretch with increasing eye length, are also likely to contribute to the choroidal thickness differences observed. The choroid is a highly vascular
structure, so alterations in ocular blood flow or vascular changes associated with myopia could also potentially play a role. A recent study has reported microvascular changes in the retina (narrower arteriolar and venular calibre) of children associated with axial elongation of the eye, suggesting a potential effect of myopia on the microvascular structures of the eye from an early age. Previous studies in animals demonstrate that optical stimuli (i.e. hyperopic defocus or diffuse defocus) can induce choroidal thinning, which leaves open the possibility that optical factors (e.g. chronic hyperopic defocus associated with lag of accommodation during near tasks or ocular aberrations) could also potentially contribute to the thinner choroid in myopic children.

Multiple regression analysis revealed that both refractive error and age were significantly associated with choroidal thickness in this population, with refractive error appearing to be the stronger predictor. This analysis predicted a decrease in choroidal thickness of 19 µm for each dioptre of myopia, and an increase in choroidal thickness of 13.1 µm for every year of age. In our previous study examining choroidal thickness in a younger cohort of primarily emmetropic children (aged 4 to 12 years), we also found evidence of a positive association between age and choroidal thickness, with the younger 4-6 year old children (mean choroidal thickness 312 µm) having a thinner choroid than the older cohort examined (mean of 341 µm in the 10-12 year olds). Our current study, using a different OCT instrument and scanning protocol, found a similar thickness in 10-12 year old non-myopic children (351 µm) and extends our previous work by showing that the choroid still appears to be undergoing small increases in thickness associated with age in the teenage years (mean thickness of 365 µm in non-myopic 13-15 year olds).
current study, there was no evidence of a significant age by refractive error interaction, indicating that both myopic and non-myopic children appear to exhibit an increase in choroidal thickness with age. We speculate that this finding, coupled with the overall thinner choroid observed in our myopic children suggests that myopic children have a thinner choroid compared to non-myopic children even at younger ages and potentially prior to the development of myopia. It should also be noted that the non-myopic sample is likely to include a proportion of participants who may develop myopia in the future. Further longitudinal measures of choroidal thickness in myopic and non-myopic children are required to clarify the magnitude and time course of choroidal changes occurring with age and associated with the development and progression of myopia in childhood.

We also observed significant variations in the topographical distribution of choroidal thickness across the posterior pole. Although our study employed a scanning protocol that sampled a slightly larger area of the choroid than previous studies of pediatric choroidal thickness, our finding of a thinner choroid nasally and inferonasally, and slightly thicker choroid in superior and superior temporal regions are generally similar to previous reports of the choroid in childhood. A thinner choroid nasally compared to temporally, and a thicker choroid in superior regions compared to inferior has also been a relatively consistent finding in studies examining the distribution of choroidal thickness across the posterior pole in adult subjects. These topographical variations in choroidal thickness are likely to relate to regional differences in the metabolic demands of the retina, along with other anatomical factors such as the pattern of distribution of the choroidal vasculature and
position of choroidal watershed zones\textsuperscript{38} and the position of the entrance of the optic nerve in the globe.

A significant refractive error by choroidal region/zone interaction was found, indicating that the change in choroidal thickness from centre to more peripheral regions was different between the myopic and non-myopic children. This manifests itself as a more pronounced difference in choroidal thickness between myopic and non-myopic children in more central foveal regions. This finding suggests that there are regional differences in the choroidal architecture associated with myopia. The more pronounced difference (i.e. thinner) in choroidal thickness centrally suggests that the central choroid may have a greater capacity to thin than more peripheral regions either due to mechanical restrictions/influences or the anatomical and physiological characteristics of this region. It has been documented that the human choroid contains a relatively large number of non-vascular smooth muscle cells concentrated in the foveal regions compared to more peripheral regions,\textsuperscript{39} and it has been hypothesised that contraction of these cells may be involved in choroidal thinning associated with refractive error development,\textsuperscript{40} which could potentially contribute to regionally varying changes in choroidal thickness. If the thickness of the choroid is influenced by signals from the overlying retina, this regional difference in thickness between myopic and non-myopic children could also indicate that the signal for choroidal change associated with myopia may also vary regionally.

Although the cross-sectional analysis in our current study means we cannot attribute causation to the associations that we found, the thinner choroid observed in the
myopic children supports a potential role for the choroid in the development and progression of myopia. Various putative roles for the choroid in the regulation of eye growth have been hypothesised, from the choroid being a source of growth factors that influence the sclera, to the choroid acting as a barrier to diffusion of retinal derived factors acting on the sclera, to the choroid modulating the tension on the sclera under the influence of ciliary muscle tone. If the thickness of the choroid does influence the movement of growth factors to the sclera, then a thinner choroid would be predicted to be related to an increased growth of the eye and hence be associated with the presence of myopia, as we have found in the current study. Further research examining longitudinal changes in the choroid in childhood refractive error development is required to further our understanding of the relationship between the thickness of the choroid and the development and progression of refractive errors in humans.

There is evidence from animal studies, and from a recent study in young adult humans (Sander B, et al IOVS 2013; 54: E-Abstract 5168), that anti-muscarinic drugs (such as those used in topical cycloplegic agents) can lead to significant increases in choroidal thickness. Since the exact influence of anti-muscarinics on the choroid of myopic and non-myopic children has not been established, it was decided not to use cycloplegia for the measures in the current study to ensure that our choroidal measurements were not confounded by any potential effects of cycloplegics on the choroid. However, the lack of cycloplegia is a limitation of the refractive measures in the study, since this may influence the reliability of refraction measures in pediatric populations. Since all of our myopic participants had clinically established myopic refractive errors, it is unlikely that the non-cycloplegic measures
will have lead to a misclassification of these subjects, however it is likely that a cycloplegic refraction would result in a more hyperopic mean refraction in some of our non-myopic cohort.

**Conclusions:**

In conclusion, this study demonstrates a significantly thinner choroid in myopic children compared to non-myopic children of the same age. The thinner choroid in myopic children appears to be more pronounced in central foveal regions compared to more peripheral regions of the choroid. These findings are consistent with a potential role of the choroid in human refractive error development.
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### TABLES:

**Table 1**: Overview of mean ± SD subfoveal choroidal thickness (derived from the Spectralis OCT images), ocular biometric measures (from the Lenstar optical biometer), and refractive error (derived from a non-cycloplegic manifest subjective refraction) in the myopic and non-myopic children. All ocular measures were significantly different between the myopic and non-myopic children \(p<0.001\), except for central corneal thickness \(p = 0.06\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean ± SD Biometric Measure</th>
<th>Myopic subjects ((n=41))</th>
<th>Non-Myopic subjects ((n=63))</th>
<th>All subjects ((n=104))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spherical equivalent refraction ((D))</td>
<td>-2.39 ± 1.51</td>
<td>+0.33 ± 0.31</td>
<td>-0.73 ± 1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central corneal thickness ((\mu m))</td>
<td>543 ± 27</td>
<td>555 ± 28</td>
<td>550 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber depth ((mm))</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.20</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.24</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens thickness ((mm))</td>
<td>3.37 ± 0.15</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.19</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitreous chamber depth ((mm))</td>
<td>17.21 ± 1.10</td>
<td>16.09 ± 0.65</td>
<td>16.53 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length ((mm))</td>
<td>24.46 ± 1.07</td>
<td>23.26 ± 0.64</td>
<td>23.73 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subfoveal choroidal thickness ((\mu m))</td>
<td>303 ± 79</td>
<td>359 ± 77</td>
<td>337 ± 82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Overview of the results from the stepwise multiple regression analysis examining the influence of demographic and biometric predictors of subfoveal choroidal thickness. The final regression model had an \(r^2\) of 0.18 \(p<0.0001\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor Variables</th>
<th>Unstandardized coefficients (B) (standard error)</th>
<th>Standardized coefficients (\beta)</th>
<th>Significance (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refractive error</td>
<td>19.4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>13.1 (5.5)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Overview of the mean ± SD parafoveal choroidal thickness measures in the central foveal, inner macula and outer macula zones in the myopic (n = 39) and non-myopic (n=60) and all children (n=99) with complete choroidal thickness data from all 6 radial scan lines. Pairwise comparisons between myopes and non-myopes revealed significant differences between refractive error groups at all locations (p<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Foveal Zone</th>
<th>Inner Macula Zone</th>
<th>Outer Macula Zone</th>
<th>All Zones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>308 ± 78</td>
<td>312 ± 79</td>
<td>305 ± 77</td>
<td>308 ± 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>362 ± 76</td>
<td>354 ± 69</td>
<td>336 ± 61</td>
<td>351 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>341 ± 81</td>
<td>337 ± 76</td>
<td>324 ± 69</td>
<td>334 ± 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior-Temporal</td>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>309 ± 78</td>
<td>317 ± 77</td>
<td>318 ± 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>365 ± 77</td>
<td>362 ± 72</td>
<td>345 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>343 ± 82</td>
<td>345 ± 77</td>
<td>335 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>306 ± 76</td>
<td>314 ± 74</td>
<td>318 ± 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>364 ± 78</td>
<td>371 ± 78</td>
<td>367 ± 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>342 ± 82</td>
<td>348 ± 81</td>
<td>348 ± 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior-Nasal</td>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>302 ± 78</td>
<td>294 ± 75</td>
<td>262 ± 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>362 ± 79</td>
<td>352 ± 82</td>
<td>305 ± 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>338 ± 84</td>
<td>329 ± 84</td>
<td>288 ± 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>297 ± 76</td>
<td>269 ± 71</td>
<td>199 ± 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>357 ± 79</td>
<td>327 ± 82</td>
<td>232 ± 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>333 ± 83</td>
<td>304 ± 83</td>
<td>219 ± 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior-Nasal</td>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>298 ± 79</td>
<td>280 ± 77</td>
<td>245 ± 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>358 ± 79</td>
<td>338 ± 79</td>
<td>283 ± 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>335 ± 83</td>
<td>315 ± 83</td>
<td>268 ± 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>301 ± 79</td>
<td>299 ± 79</td>
<td>293 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>360 ± 78</td>
<td>353 ± 76</td>
<td>333 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>337 ± 83</td>
<td>331 ± 81</td>
<td>318 ± 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior-Temporal</td>
<td>Myopes (n=39)</td>
<td>304 ± 78</td>
<td>303 ± 77</td>
<td>299 ± 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Myopes (n=60)</td>
<td>361 ± 77</td>
<td>353 ± 72</td>
<td>335 ± 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Subjects (n = 99)</td>
<td>339 ± 82</td>
<td>333 ± 78</td>
<td>321 ± 71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURES:

**Figure 1:** Overview of the (a) scanning protocol and (b) analysis procedure used in the study. Each child had 2 series of 6 radial OCT scan line images captured (position of scans illustrated with green lines in (a)), with each scan line separated by 30° and centred on the fovea (example OCT image from the horizontal scan line is included on the right hand side). Following image capture, each OCT scan was analysed with custom written software to define the outer border of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) (using an automated analysis method) and the inner border of the chorio-scleral interface (CSI) (performed manually by an experienced masked observer). The thickness data derived from the analysis of each of the 6 scan lines were analysed to derive the average choroidal thickness subfoveally, and across a series of locations [temporal (T), superior-temporal (ST), superior (S), superior nasal (SN), nasal (N), inferior-nasal (IN), inferior (I) and inferior-temporal (IT)] in the central foveal zone (from foveal centre out to a 1 mm diameter), the inner macula zone (from inner 1mm diameter to outer 3 mm diameter) and the outer macula zone (from inner 3 mm diameter to outer 6mm diameter).
Figure 2: Overview of repeatability analysis for subfoveal and parafoveal choroidal thickness measures from the 20 randomly selected subjects that had their scans analysed twice by the masked observer. The mean of analysis 1 and 2 is plotted against the difference between analysis 1 and 2 (mean difference and 95% limits of agreement are shown with the dashed lines).
Figure 3: Association between subfoveal choroidal thickness and refractive error (a) and subfoveal choroidal thickness and age (b) for the population of 104 children examined. Multiple regression analysis revealed that both non-cycloplegic spherical equivalent refraction ($p<0.001$) and age ($p = 0.02$) were significantly associated with subfoveal choroidal thickness.
Figure 4: Average topographical distribution of choroidal thickness across the central 6 mm of the posterior pole for all children with complete sets of 6 OCT images in the study (n = 99). White spot indicates the average position of the thickest choroid. Thickness map represents the mean choroidal thickness of all subjects, however fundus image background is from one representative subject to illustrate the location of the choroidal thickness measurements in relation to typical fundus landmarks. Circles indicate the position of the central foveal zone (inner 1mm), the inner macula zone (from inner 1 mm to outer 3 mm diameter) and the outer macula zone (from inner 3 mm to outer 6 mm diameter).
Figure 5: Average choroidal thickness maps across the central 6 mm of the posterior pole for the myopic (n = 39) and non-myopic (n = 60) children with complete sets of 6 OCT images in the study (a). Thickness maps represent the mean choroidal thickness from all myopic and non-myopic children respectively, however the fundus image background is from one representative myopic and non-myopic child to illustrate the location of the choroidal thickness measurements in relation to typical fundus landmarks. Average difference in choroidal thickness (non-myopes minus myopes) across the central 6mm of the posterior pole is illustrated in (b). White spot indicates the average position of the thickest choroid (a) and the largest thickness difference (b). Circles indicate the position of the central foveal zone (inner 1 mm diameter), the inner macula zone (from inner 1 mm to outer 3 mm diameter) and the outer macula zone (from inner 3 mm to outer 6 mm diameter).