Association between proportion of milk feeds delivered via bottle at age 4 months and weight at 14 months – the Australian NOURISH trial.

Byrne, R. A.*^{1,2}, Jansen, E.^{1,2}, Yeo, M.², Daniels, L. A.^{1,2}.

- ¹ Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Centre for Children's Health Research, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia
- ² School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Faculty of Health, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia
- * telephone: +61 7 3069 7308 email: ra.byrne@qut.edu.au

Introduction

Several mechanisms have been proposed for the relationship between weight gain in infancy and obesity risk. While the link with formula intake and attendant higher protein intake is now well documented¹, it has been proposed that method of milk delivery may also contribute. While breastfeeding, an infant largely has control over the amount of milk consumed, while when bottle feeding, either formula or expressed breastmilk, the care-giver can exert more control². Bottle-feeding practices that are not responsive to a child's cues of hunger and satiety, may result in overfeeding and excess weight gain. The aim of this analysis was to examine the relationship between proportion of milk feeds delivered via bottle (breastmilk, formula or mixed feeding) at age 4 months and weight-for age z-score (WAZ) at 14 months.

Methods

Study design: This is a secondary analysis of longitudinal data from mother-child dyads participating in the Australian NOURISH trial³, which evaluated an intervention to promote positive early feeding practices.

Inclusion criteria: First time mothers ≥18 years; healthy infants ≥ 37 weeks gestation, ≥ 2500g, no diagnosis that may affect normal feeding and growth.

Data collection: Baseline assessment occurred when infants were approximately 4 months of age. Mothers reported three days of child intake using 1x24-hour recall⁴ (with a Dietitian) and 2x estimated food diaries (completed at home by the mother).

Only those infants who were exclusively milk-fed (breastmilk, formula or mixed feeding) over the three days of reported intake were included in this analysis. The number of feeding occasions on each day was recorded directly from the recall and records, as was number of feeding occasions given via bottle.

Intake data was entered into FoodWorks⁵ which ultilised the AusNut 2007 database. This database contained few commercial infant products, therefore an extensive number of formulas were added by research staff. Direct breastfeeds were entered in 'minutes', and expressed breastmilk or formula consumed by the child was entered in ml. The child was assumed to take 10g milk per minute from the breast with breastmilk providing 2.8kJ/g⁶. FoodWorks calculates an individual's intake of protein (grams) for each of the three days.

Anthropometry were measured by trained research staff at baseline and at a second assessment at child age approximately 14 months; and child WAZ using WHO Standards⁷ and maternal BMI were derived.

All data were exported into SPSS for analysis.

Statistical analysis: For those infants who were exclusively milkfed (breastmilk, formula or mixed feeding) over the three days, the average proportion of number of feeds delivered via a bottle per day was calculated; ranging from 0% for the exclusively breastfed infant fed directly from the breast, to 100% for the infant fed only via bottle.

Protein intake at baseline was determined by first calculating protein intake (grams/kg body weight) for each of the three days of dietary intake data collected. This was then used to derive an average intake of protein (grams/kg body weight/day) for an individual over three days.

Multiple regression was used to determine the relationship between WAZ at 14 months and proportion of feeds delivered via bottle at 4 months of age adjusting for WAZ at baseline, protein intake (g/kg body weight/day) over three days, maternal body mass index and age at child's birth, and NOURISH trial allocation.

Results

Three days of intake at 4 months and anthropometric data at 4 and 14 months was available for 284 infants. Characteristics are shown in table 1.

Fifty-two percent of infants were breastfed directly at every feeding occasion over the three days of recorded intake. Fourteen percent received a mix of direct breastfeeds and expressed breastmilk, 17% received both breastmilk (either direct or expressed) and formula, with the remaining 17% exclusively formula fed.

References

- 1. Ong, K. & Loos, R. (2006) Rapid infancy weight gain and subsequent obesity: Systematic reviews and hopeful suggestions. Acta Paediatrica, 95(8), 904-908
- 2. DiSantis, K. I. et al. (2011). Do infants fed directly from the breast have improved appetite regulation and slower growth during early childhood compared with infants fed from a bottle? International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 8(1), 89-89

Results continued.

Table 1: Characteristics of children and mothers (N=284)

Variable	Mean (sd)	% (n)
Child		
Sex (male)		48 (136)
Age at baseline (months)	3.8 (.69)	
Weight-for-age z-score at baseline	15 (.92)	
Protein intake at baseline (g/kg body weight/day)	1.8 (.46)	
Age at second assessment (months)	13.1 (1.1)	
Weight-for-age z-score at second assessment	.42 (.86)	
Mother		
Age at child's birth (years)	31 (4.8)	
Body mass index (kg/m²) at baseline	25.9 (5.2)	
University education		70 (198)

Proportion of feeds delivered via bottle: Mean proportion of feeds delivered via bottle per day at 4 months of age was 26% (sd= 39, range 0-100).

Association with WAZ:

The regression model explained 41% variance in WAZ at 14 months, adj R²=.41, SE=.66. WAZ at 14 months was associated with proportion of feeds delivered via bottle at 4 months of age (ß=.12, p=.01) adjusting for WAZ at 4 months (ß=.62, p<.001), protein intake, g/kg bodyweight per day (ß=.13, p=.02), maternal BMI (ß=.12, p=.01), maternal age (ß=-.05, p=.25) and NOURISH allocation (Ω =-.08, p=.10).

Discussion & Conclusion

These results add to the growing body of evidence that how an infant is fed - bottle feeding versus directly fed from the breast - may contribute to higher relative weight.

Proportion of feeds delivered via bottle and protein intake made similar contributions to variance in WAZ at 14m (ß=.12 and .13 respectively).

This is of concern as it appears that the practice of feeding expressed breast milk by bottle is increasing^{8, 9}. In addition, mothers who use formula report feeling guilty and unsupported, and as a result may be less likely to seek assistance from a health professional about feeding¹⁰.

Providing mothers with support to bottle feed in a way that is responsive to an infant's hunger and satiety cues is a potential strategy for obesity prevention that requires further investigation.

- 3. Daniels, L. et al. (2012). Evaluation of an intervention to promote protective infant feeding practices to prevent childhood obesity: outcomes of the NOURISH RCT at 14 months of age and 6 months post the first of two intervention modules. International Journal of Obesity 36, 1292–1298
- 4. Jonnalagadda, S. et al (2000). Accuracy of energy intake data estimated by multiple-pass, 24-hour dietary recall technique. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 100, 202-211
- 5. FoodWorks (2009) version 6.0.2562. Xyris Software, Australia.
- 6. Australian Government, Department of Health and Ageing & National Health and Medical Research Council (2006), Nutrient Reference Values for Australia and New Zealand including recommended dietary intakes. Commonwealth of Australia
- 7. World Health Organisation (2008) WHO child growth standards: methods and development. Geneva: World Health Organization 8. Johns, H. M. et al (2013). Prevalence and outcomes of breast milk expressing in women with healthy term infants: a
- systematic review. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 13, 212-212
- 9. O'Sullivan, E. J et al (2017). Human milk expression as a sole or auxiliary strategy of infant feeding: a qualitative study. Maternal and Child Nutrition, 13(3), e12332
- 10. Lakshman, R. et al (2009) Mothers' experiences of bottle-feeding: A systematic review of qualitative and quantitative studies. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 94(8):596-601









Thank you to the families participating in NOURISH and our research team. NOURISH was funded by the NHMRC ID 426704 and R Byrne is funded by an NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence (CRE-EPOCH).

