

#### **Queensland University of Technology**

Brisbane Australia

This may be the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

McGregor-Lowndes, Myles & Hannah, Frances (2021)

ACPNS Legal Case Notes Series: 2021-9 Start Living Prize Homes Inc v Chief Executive for Liquor and Gaming. [Working Paper]

This file was downloaded from: https://eprints.qut.edu.au/207892/

# © The Australian Centre for Philanthropy and Nonprofit Studies (ACPNS)

Disclaimer: The material included in this document is produced by QUT's Australian Centre for Philanthropy and Nonprofit Studies (ACPNS) with contribution from some authors outside QUT. It is designed and intended to provide general information in summary form for general informational purposes only. The material may not apply to all jurisdictions. The contents do not constitute legal advice, are not intended to be a substitute for legal advice and should not be relied upon as such. You should seek legal advice or other professional advice in relation to any particular matters you or your organisation may have.

License: Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0

**Notice**: Please note that this document may not be the Version of Record (i.e. published version) of the work. Author manuscript versions (as Submitted for peer review or as Accepted for publication after peer review) can be identified by an absence of publisher branding and/or typeset appearance. If there is any doubt, please refer to the published source.

http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/qld/QCAT/2021/22.html

## **ACPNS LEGAL CASE REPORTS SERIES**

This series compiles short summaries of significant cases involving charitable, philanthropic, nonprofit and social enterprise organisations in Australia and overseas.



# START LIVING PRIZE HOMES INC V CHIEF EXECUTIVE FOR LIQUOR AND GAMING [2021] QCAT 22

#### Queensland Civil and Administrative Tribunal, Member Howe, 20 January 2021

An appeal from the refusal to grant a gaming licence on failure to provide acceptable evidence of being able to financially deliver the prize in the event of low-ticket sales.

#### Key words: Lottery, Queensland, Incorporated Association, Gaming Licence, Financial Capacity, House

- 1. Start Living Prize Homes Inc (SL) is incorporated as an association with an object of operating raffles exclusively to non-homeowners offering the chance of winning a house. All profits were to be distributed to Australian charities or 'other disadvantaged groups within the community'.
- 2. SL sought a category 3 gaming licence under the *Charitable and Non-Profit Gaming Act 1999* (Qld) (the Act) from Office of Liquor and Gaming Regulation (OLGR) to conduct a 55,000 ticket lottery at \$20 per ticket for the prize of a house worth \$600,000.
- 3. The application for a licence was refused for various reasons, but the matter before the Tribunal was the failure of SL to provide acceptable financial evidence that the prizes proposed would be delivered if expected ticket sales failed to materialise.
- 4. SL was newly formed and could not provide financial records. OLGR suggested that SL provide a bank guarantee for the value of the prizes and expenses of the game, guaranteeing delivery of the prizes and payment of expenses regardless of the outcome of the game. However, SL declined.
- 5. SL was then given the alternative opportunity of supplying an undertaking signed by each management member guaranteeing delivery of the prizes and payment of expenses regardless of the outcome of the game. However, the credit worthiness of the members could not be properly determined.
- 6. The OLGR chief executive may have regard to any relevant matter including amongst other things the applicant or management member's character or business reputation and the applicant or management member's current financial position and financial background (s 46 of the Act).
- 7. The Tribunal concluded that (at[45]):

Whilst the legislation permits certain flexibility to game operators, public confidence in the probity and integrity of authorised games must be preserved and protected. There can be no reasonable assurance that SL is a suitable entity to hold a licence given the absence of evidence of financial resources available and adequate to ensure the financial viability of operations conducted under the licence.

8. The licence was refused.

### **IMPLICATIONS**



According to OLGR there are many other competing games which offer larger prizes at a cheaper cost per ticket. Whether the limited number of tickets would make SL's game more attractive to players was not established, and certainly there was no actuarial modelling made available in that regard.

### **VIEW THE CASE**



This case may be viewed at http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/cases/qld/QCAT/2021/22.html

Read more notable cases in The Australian Nonprofit Sector Legal and Accounting Almanac series.

Author: McGregor-Lowndes, Myles & Hannah, Frances M.

Email: acpns@qut.edu.au

Date of creation: February 2021

Number of case: 2021-9

Disclaimer: The material included in this document is produced by QUT's Australian Centre for Philanthropy and Nonprofit Studies (ACPNS) with contribution from some authors outside QUT. It is designed and intended to provide general information in summary form for general informational purposes only. The material may not apply to all jurisdictions. The contents do not constitute legal advice, are not intended to be a substitute for legal advice and should not be relied upon as such. You should seek legal advice or other professional advice in relation to any particular matters you or your organisation may have.

Creative Commons Licence:



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY)



# IS SUPPORTING THE SE

QUT's Australian Centre for Philanthropy and Nonprofit Studies is a small centre with BIG impact. Since 2001 it has taken a leading role in nonprofit teaching and research, benefiting the sector here in Australia and beyond.







**EVENTS** 

