

Queensland University of Technology Brisbane Australia

This may be the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

Freeman, James, Street, Tamara, & Davey, Jeremy
(2016)
An engineering or behavioural approach? A study into employees' perceptions regarding the effectiveness of occupational road safety initiatives.
Safety, 2(1), Article number: 7 1-11.

This file was downloaded from: https://eprints.gut.edu.au/220862/

© Consult author(s) regarding copyright matters

This work is covered by copyright. Unless the document is being made available under a Creative Commons Licence, you must assume that re-use is limited to personal use and that permission from the copyright owner must be obtained for all other uses. If the document is available under a Creative Commons License (or other specified license) then refer to the Licence for details of permitted re-use. It is a condition of access that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. If you believe that this work infringes copyright please provide details by email to qut.copyright@qut.edu.au

License: Creative Commons: Attribution 2.5

Notice: Please note that this document may not be the Version of Record (*i.e.* published version) of the work. Author manuscript versions (as Submitted for peer review or as Accepted for publication after peer review) can be identified by an absence of publisher branding and/or typeset appearance. If there is any doubt, please refer to the published source.

https://doi.org/10.3390/safety2010007

Article

1 2

6

7 8

9

10 11

An Engineering or Behavioural Approach? A Study into Employee's Perceptions Regarding the Effectiveness of Occupational Road Safety Initiatives

James Freeman¹, Tamara Street^{1*}, & Jeremy Davey¹

¹ Queensland University of Technology, Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety, Kelvin Grove Road, Kelvin Grove, 4059 Email: je.freeman@qut.edu.au

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; Email: <u>t.banks@qut.edu.au</u>; Tel: +61 7
3138 4963; Fax: +61 7 3138 4907

1516 Abstract

Background and Aims: Considerable variation has been documented with fleet safety interventions' 17 abilities to create lasting behavioural change, and research has neglected to consider employees' 18 perceptions regarding the effectiveness of fleet interventions. This is a critical oversight as 19 employees' beliefs and acceptance levels (as well as the perceived organisational commitment to 20 safety) can ultimately influence levels of effectiveness, and this study aimed to examine such 21 perceptions in Australian fleet settings. Method: 679 employees sourced from four Australian 22 23 organisations completed a safety climate questionnaire as well as provided perspectives about the effectiveness of 35 different safety initiatives. *Results:* Countermeasures that were perceived as 24 most effective were a mix of human and engineering-based approaches: (a) purchasing safer 25 vehicles, (b) investigating serious vehicle incidents and (c) practical driver skills training. In 26 contrast, least effective countermeasures were considered to be: (a) signing a promise card, (b) 27 advertising a company's phone number on the back of cars for complaints and compliments and (c) 28 29 communicating cost benefits of road safety to employees. No significant differences in employee perceptions were identified based on age, gender, employees' self-reported crash involvement or 30 employees' self-reported traffic infringement history. Perceptions of safety climate were identified 31 to be "moderate" but were not linked to self-reported crash or traffic infringement history. 32 However, higher levels of safety climate were positively correlated with perceived effectiveness of 33 some interventions. *Conclusion*: Taken together, employees believed occupational road safety risks 34 could best be managed by the employer by implementing a combination of engineering and human 35 resource initiatives to enhance road safety. This paper will further outline the key findings in 36 regards to practice as well as provide direction for future research. 37

38

Keywords: safety culture; climate; occupational road safety; interventions.

40

41 **1. Introduction**

Compared to general motorists, a relatively small body of research has focused on fleet 42 drivers, particularly individuals who drive company sponsored vehicles [1-4]. This may be 43 considered surprising given that professional drivers not only have different driving demands, but 44 they also have higher exposure to risk [5] and are disproportionately represented in crash statistics. 45 In fact, occupational driving crashes are the most common form of injury or death in Australian 46 workplaces [6], with 40% of all worker fatalities over the past 11 years (2003-2013) resulting from 47 vehicle collisions [7]. This effect is not confined to Australia, but rather, similar findings have been 48 reported in the United Kingdom [8] and in the United States [9]. The largest proportion of the 49 research has been directed towards examining fleet drivers' self-reported driving behaviours, which 50 is usually measured via the Driver Behaviour Questionnaire [10]. This research has demonstrated 51 that company drivers have a greater risk of crash involvement [2, 3], due not only to higher levels of 52 exposure to the road environment, but also as a result of time and scheduling pressures and other 53 distractions [11]. This has resulted in a growing view expressed both in industry and the 54 corresponding road safety literature that there is a need to create a proactive "fleet safety culture" 55 that has a strong foundation based on corporate policies, processes and procedures [12]. 56

57 Interventions

Within Australia, organisational intervention strategies have historically been applied in a 58 "post hoc" manner due to an increase in numbers or severity of work-related vehicle crashes or 59 That is, most organisational intervention strategies have historically been incidents [13]. 60 61 implemented in reaction to an increase in numbers or severity of work-related vehicle crashes or incidents [13] and fail to proactively address problems or incidents before they occur. Additionally, 62 organisations have traditionally adopted a "one size fits all" approach to intervention strategies that 63 often involves an overreliance on driver training, generally based on enhancing driver skills and not 64 targeting specific driver behaviours or organisational influences [14]. However, some research has 65 been conducted into the effectiveness of three predominant categories of fleet interventions, briefly 66 reviewed below. 67

68

Driver Training: The effectiveness of driver training in fleet settings remains relatively unknown, despite it being the most widely implemented fleet intervention [12, 6]. Preliminary research provided limited evidence of effectiveness [15] as it has been suggested that the approach focuses too much on skill improvement at the expense of judgement and decision-making [16]. Rowland, Wishart and Davey [17] argued that an emphasis on strategies to improve the driving skills of drivers reinforces the perceptions of

- organisational management that the driver, more specifically a lack of driver skills and
 ability, is primarily to "blame" for work-related incidents/crashes. Nevertheless, research on
 general motorists has demonstrated the approach can improve driving skill if it involves
 formal instruction and extensive practice [18, 19].
- 79

Organisational Interventions: Advancements in Occupational Health and Safety legislative 80 frameworks have also resulted in an increasing presence of employer obligations in the 81 workplace [6]. This has subsequently enhanced the focus on driver safety management 82 approaches [6]. Newnam and Watson [20] categorised these risk management approaches 83 into: (a) crash reporting databases and (b) driver recruitment and training. While the 84 utilisation of crash databases is useful in regards to benchmarking, the approach is often 85 reactive and is of little use to develop proactive interventions [14]. Driver recruitment 86 processes often involve confirming that the applicant has a valid licence and collecting 87 information about driving history [20], which arguably should also be undertaken at on-88 going intervals throughout an employee's contract. 89

90

Behaviour Modification: The use of incentives has also proven popular within fleet settings, with many of the approaches being modelled off operant conditioning e.g., providing incentives. Some preliminary research has indicated there is merit in the approach for reducing crashes [21] as well as seat belt usage [22]. Safety awareness programs have also been trialed, and have proven effective but outcome measures are reliant upon self-reported data [23].

97

98 Organisational fleet safety interventions are not limited only to the above approaches, and some interventions are multimodal in nature and thus contain different elements. For example, a 99 100 range of risk management initiatives have been introduced in many motorised countries (including Australia, New Zealand, the United States of America, Ireland, Sweden and the United Kingdom) 101 that include: management education and awareness; policies relating to the management of 102 journeys, drivers and vehicles; safe vehicle selection and maintenance; driver training; driver 103 monitoring; awareness campaigns; risk assessments; post-incident investigations; assessments of 104 health and fitness to operate vehicles; conferences, seminars and workshops; incident data 105 recording; safe driving awards; group discussions; competency based licence testing; and programs 106 focussing on vehicle maintenance, fatigue management, driving hours, and driver health [24, 25]. 107 Banks et al. [26] conducted a document review of empirical studies pertaining to occupational road 108 safety initiatives. From the 19 initiatives reviewed, only six initiatives were found to be positively 109

associated with occupational road safety benefits (e.g., reductions in crashes or incidents) both 110 during and after the intervention period [25]. These were: a pay rise; driver training; group 111 discussions; enlisting employees as community road safety change agents; safety reminders; and 112 group and individual rewards. However, it should be noted that quantifying the level of 113 114 effectiveness has yet to be undertaken. While others have argued that a more holistic approach is necessary that addresses a range of risk factors [14]. More specifically, this could include the 115 systematic identification and management of the risks associated with fleet drivers (e.g., attitudes 116 and behaviours), development of appropriate intervention/improvement strategies as well as 117 maintaining continuous monitoring and review of the risks [17]. Furthermore, it has also been 118 suggested that theoretical development in the work-related driving arena has been limited (and 119 similar to the application of interventions), has been anecdotal and data driven [20]. Given the 120 importance of theory in creating behavioural change, such an omission within the fleet safety arena 121 could be a significant oversight. 122

Importantly, there is some preliminary evidence that indicates community perception of road 123 safety countermeasures do not align with evidence, but rather, appear to suffer from a 124 misunderstanding of behaviour change principles and crash causation [27]. While this research has 125 126 not been extended to consider occupational road safety, the underlying principle of misalignment between perception and reality has similar implications for fleet safety. More specifically, there 127 may be a disconnect between perceptions of the effectiveness of fleet interventions (as well as the 128 subsequent implementation of initiatives) and actual empirical evidence regarding the efficacy of 129 countermeasures to improve road safety. Furthermore, while previous research has focused heavily 130 on drivers' perceptions of safety [28] as well as self-reported attitudes and behaviours [29], it has 131 generally neglected drivers' perceptions regarding the effectiveness of different fleet interventions. 132 This may prove to be a significant oversight, as research has suggested that employees' beliefs may 133 facilitate or act as a barrier when implementing organisational initiatives [30]. It may vet be 134 proven that individuals are more likely to accept initiatives that they believe will assist them in 135 achieving a goal and to resist initiatives that they believe have limited effectiveness and/or are not 136 appropriate in their organisation [26]. More broadly, the impact of attitudes on behaviour is well 137 documented within the Theory of Planned Behaviour [31] and the powerful influence of attitudes as 138 well as expectations is no more clearly evidenced than in the placebo effect [32]. Furthermore, 139 positive attitudes towards an initiative have been proven to increase usage [33] and it may yet be 140 proven that the limited effectiveness of in-vehicle monitoring systems for teenager drivers [34, 35] 141 is related to low levels of acceptance. 142

143 *Safety Culture and Climate*

144 The concept of "safety culture and climate" underpins many organizational interventions to improve worker safety. There is some evidence that creating a strong "safety culture" can have a 145 positive effect on improving road safety by reducing fleet collisions [21, 28, 36], although it is also 146 noted that traffic safety culture is a relatively new concept and there is no consensus regarding the 147 nature of the concept nor how it should be defined [37]. The concept of safety culture first emerged 148 in the International Nuclear Safety Advisory Group's (INSAG) report on the 1986 Chernobyl 149 150 nuclear power plant disaster, which indicated that a lack of safety culture contributed to the incident. A complete review into the evolution and development of safety culture is beyond the 151 scope of the current paper [see 38, 39], but in its simplest terms, the concept may be defined as "the 152 assembly of underlying assumptions, beliefs, values and attitudes shared by members of an 153 organisation, which interact with an organisation's structures and systems and the broader 154 contextual setting to result in those external, readily-visible, practices that influence safety" [40, 155 p77]. The concept has a lengthy history of application in organisational safety over the past 25 156 vears, but it has only recently emerged in the traffic safety literature [40]. Nevertheless, preliminary 157 research has provided positive results regarding the influence of safety culture. For example, Öz et 158 al. [5] explored the self-reported driving behaviours of 230 male professional drivers and reported 159 160 those with low work orientation scores (e.g., culture) reported significantly more DBQ relatedviolations than those with high scores for work orientation. 161

A further extension of the safety culture concept is that of safety climate, which refers to 162 employees' shared perceptions of management's commitment and operations with regards to fleet 163 safety practices, policies and procedures [28, 41]. As such, fleet safety climate forms part of the 164 165 broader concept of safety culture, but focuses primarily on workers' perceptions and thus represents a psychological construct [28]. Preliminary research has identified a number of general dimensions 166 that may impact fleet safety climate including: management commitment; work demands and 167 pressure; trusting relationships including communication and support; appropriateness of safety 168 rules as well as safety training [28, 41, 42]. In regards to impacts on safety performance, there is 169 some evidence for a relationship between safety climate and safety outcomes in regards to: self-170 reported current driver behaviour and future driving intentions at work [43]; incident rates [44]; and 171 self-reported safety behaviours and injury outcomes [45]. For example, Wills et al. [43] found that 172 both individual factors (e.g., safety attitudes) and organisational factors (e.g., safety climate 173 perceptions) influenced current driving behaviours as well as future driving intentions. Newnam et 174 al. [46] also reported that among a sample of fleet drivers, both individual factors and organisational 175 safety values can influence safety outcomes. Despite this research, it has been suggested that few 176 theoretical or conceptual advances have been made within the fleet safety domain [20], and research 177 rarely uses such frameworks to explore work-related driving issues. Nevertheless, given the 178

possible links between safety climate and safety outcomes, it is suggested that fleet safety climate
may be related to occupational road safety outcomes (e.g., improved safety), and thus worthy of
further exploration [47].

Taken together, empirical evidence is lacking into the effectiveness of a range of fleet interventions as well as how theoretical constructs such as safety culture and climate can be combined with organisational initiatives to enhance safety outcomes. As noted above, research has neglected drivers' perceptions regarding the effectiveness of interventions despite evidence that indicates employees' beliefs can influence the safety outcomes of countermeasures. As a result, this study aims to examine employees' perceptions regarding various occupational road safety initiatives and explore what factors influence such perceptions.

189 **2. Experimental Section**

A total of 679 employees sourced from four Australian organisations completed an on-line 190 questionnaire. Participation was confidential and anonymous. The organisations included private 191 and public, profit and not-for-profit organisations containing vehicle fleets. These organisations 192 were responsible for a combined workforce of approximately 42,000 and a combined fleet of 193 approximately 19,000, which operated in both rural and urban environments. A convenience 194 sampling approach was utilised with a minimum of 100 volunteering participants being sampled 195 from each of the four organisations. Participants ranged in age from 18 years to 65 years ($\underline{M} = 42$, 196 SD = 11). A relatively even distribution of male (58%) and female (42%) participants was achieved. 197 Participants reported regularly driving a vehicle for occupational purposes e.g., 80% on a daily 198 basis. Crash involvement and demerit point history (e.g., fines) were examined via participants 199 200 reported frequency of such events over the past 12 months. The largest proportion of the sample had not been involved in a crash (84.5%, n = 574), with 9.6% (n = 65) being involved in one crash 201 and 1.9% (n = 13) being involved in more than one crash. In regards to fines, 87.5% (n = 594) had 202 not received a fine in the last year, while 7.1% (n = 48) received one fine and 1.5% (n = 10) 203 204 received more than one fine.

A questionnaire was developed that required participants to rate 35 fleet safety-based 205 initiatives in regards to how effective they perceived they would be in improving road safety in their 206 organisation. Initiatives were selected based upon: (a) a review of interventions proposed to be best 207 practice in industry reports [6, 24, 25, 48, 49, 50]; and (b) initiatives that had been previously 208 empirically evaluated [51]. However, the nominated interventions were not all implemented across 209 the four interventions. Identified initiatives that have been previously researched included: group 210 discussions to identify safety problems and brainstorm solutions [21], safe driving goal setting, [52] 211 signing a promise card commitment to drive safely [53], monitoring driver behaviour with in-car 212 data recorders [54], and encouraging self-monitoring of driving behaviour [55]. The questionnaire 213

was piloted with both 15 managers and 15 employees. Participants were required to rate initiatives
on a five-point Likert scale ranging from very ineffective to very effective. The descriptions of the
initiatives used in the questionnaire can be viewed in the results section in Table 1.

A 36 item fleet safety climate scale developed in previous research [41] was also utilised. The items were consistent with existing fleet safety climate measures such as the Safety Climate Questionnaire – Modified for Drivers [SCQ-MD] which has previously been validated with industry samples [43]. Participants' responses to the items required them to indicate how much they thought the practices applied to their organisation. Items were measured using a five-point scale ranging from one representing never to five representing always. All factors were calculated such that higher scores indicated safer perceptions.

224 **3. Results and Discussion**

225 *3.1 Perceived Effectiveness*

Descriptive analysis revealed that the initiatives perceived by employees to be most 226 effective in managing occupational road risks were multifaceted. The top five initiatives were 227 considered to be: (a) making vehicle safety features standard, (b) practical driver training skills, (c) 228 investigation of serious driver vehicle crashes and incidents. (d) making cruise control a standard 229 230 vehicle feature and (e) targeting safety assistance to high risk drivers (see Table 1). As a result, the countermeasures perceived to be most effective consisted of either technological approaches or a 231 focus on human factors. However, it is noteworthy that only "making vehicle safety features 232 standard" reached a mean score above 4 indicating consensus that the approach was "effective." 233 Additionally, there has yet to be a cumulative body of evidence that indicates the initiatives 234 (particularly practical driver training skills) actually improves fleet safety. Between groups analysis 235 revealed no significant differences between the three highest ranked items, although a top ranked 236 item (e.g., making vehicles safer) was ranked significantly higher than the fifth ranked item (e.g., 237 targeting safety assistance) t(679) = 8.19, p <.01. In contrast, the least effective initiatives were 238 considered to be: (a) signing a promise card, (b) advertising company phone numbers on vehicles, 239 (c) consideration of driving competence in staff recruitment, (d) communicating cost benefits of 240 road safety and (e) presenting comparisons of vehicle incident statistics between depots. No 241 significant differences in employee perceptions were identified based on age, affiliated 242 organisation, gender, employees' self-reported crash involvement or employees' self-reported 243 traffic infringement history. 244

245 246

Table 1 - Employee perceptions of initiative effectiveness		
Occupational Road Safety Initiative	Mean	SD
Making vehicle safety features standard e.g. passenger airbags	4.02	1.11
Practical driver skills training	3.94	1.25
Investigation of serious vehicle incidents	3.89	1.09

Sajery, 2014, 1, 1-x manuscripts, $ao1.10.5590/safety10x000x$	Safety, 2014,	1, 1-x manuscript	s; doi:10.3390/safety10x000x
---	---------------	-------------------	------------------------------

Making cruise control a standard vehicle feature	3.69	1.23
Targeting safety assistance to high risk drivers	3.66	1.14
Marking low visibility walls and objects with hazard colours	3.60	1.21
Recording vehicle incidents and identifying high risk employees and vehicles	3.59	1.16
Assessing competency before being cleared to operate vehicles in difficult	3.57	1.22
areas		
Medical screening for problems that will affect driving e.g. vision	3.53	1.25
Journey planning to avoid high risk situations e.g. animals at dusk	3.49	1.19
Vehicle inductions for all drivers	3.48	1.22
Awareness communication on work related road risks e.g. emails, posters	3.46	1.01
Employee input in selection of vehicles	3.44	1.27
Individual incentives for safe driving	3.44	1.27
Presenting genuine personal stories about serious crashes in your organisation	3.43	1.19
Making 'lights on' during driving a standard vehicle feature	3.39	1.30
Documenting vehicle maintenance	3.39	1.10
Provision of driver safety information	3.38	1.02
Checking driver's licences are current every 12 months	3.30	1.35
Group incentives for safe driving	3.27	1.21
Individual feedback on driving behaviour	3.27	1.13
Development and promotion of work related road safety policy	3.25	1.01
Including driving behaviour in performance assessments	3.21	1.21
Safe driving goal setting	3.15	1.10
Encouraging self-monitoring of driving behaviour	3.09	1.06
Individual consequences for unsafe driving	3.07	1.03
Making speed-limiters a standard vehicle feature	3.06	1.36
Group discussions to identify safety problems and brainstorm solutions	3.00	1.12
Group feedback on driving behaviour	3.00	1.17
Monitor driver behaviour with in-car data recorders	2.93	1.32
Presenting comparisons of vehicle incident statistics between depots	2.92	1.20
Communicating cost benefits of road safety e.g. fuel efficiency	2.87	1.13
Consideration of driving competency in staff selection process	2.87	1.18
Advertising organisations phone number on vehicles for complaints &	2.81	1.36
compliments		
Signing a promise card commitment to drive safely	2.37	1.17

247

248 *3.2 Safety Climate*

A factor analysis of the Fleet safety climate scale was implemented to determine the factor structure 249 250 of the scale, which extracted five factors that were: (a) management commitment; (b) work demands; (c) trust; (d) appropriateness of rules; and (e) communication. The observed reliability 251 coefficients for each of the factors were above the acceptable cut-off level of .70. Mean and 252 standard deviation scores were calculated for the overall fleet safety climate questionnaire as well as 253 for each of the five extracted factors. The mean overall fleet safety climate score was 3.33 (SD = 254 0.67), which on a five point likert scale, suggests a perceived "moderate" level of organisational 255 support for safety. Mean scores remained relatively consistent across the five factors, which are 256 presented in Table 2. Factor Five - communication ($\underline{M} = 3.27, \underline{SD} = 0.80$). 257

258 259

Table 2.	Climate Factors
----------	-----------------

Factor	Item Examples	М	SD

Management commitment	Management are committed to motor	3.47	.88
	vehicle safety		
	Management are committed to driver		
	safety		
Work demands	Safety rules relating to the use of motor	3.13	.89
	vehicles are followed even when a job is		
	rushed		
Trusting Relationships	Employees trust management	3.15	.89
	Management trust employees		
Appropriateness of Rules	Safety rules relating to the use of motor	3.55	.72
	vehicles are always practical		
Communication	An effective documentation management	3.27	.88
	system ensures the availability of safety		
	procedures relating to the use of motor		
	vehicles		
			1

Safety, 2014, 1, 1-x manuscripts; doi:10.3390/safety10x000x

260

261 *3.3 Safety Performance*

A series of analyses were undertaken to determine the impact of safety climate and 262 perceived initiative effectiveness on driving performance (e.g., crashes and fines), as climate has 263 been proposed to influence safety outcomes [28]. In regards to aberrant driving behaviours, no 264 significant differences were identified between crash involvement or incurring fines and self-265 reported safety climate. This may be considered unsurprising given the small number of employees 266 who reported being involved in a crash or receiving a fine, which may in part be dependent upon 267 the 12 month time period. Pearson's correlations revealed that higher perceptions of management 268 commitment were positively associated with awareness communication regarding risks ($r = .20^{**}$), 269 setting a goal of safe driving $(r = .21^{**})$ and promotion of road safety policy $(r = .21^{**})$. Higher 270 reports of work demands was positively associated with including driver behaviour in performance 271 assessments ($r = .24^{**}$) and enforcing individual consequences for unsafe driving ($r = .22^{**}$). For 272 trusting relationships, communicating the benefits of cost benefits was positively associated with 273 this factor $(r = .21^{**})$ and signing promise cards $(r = .19^{**})$. Having appropriate rules was 274 positively correlated with performance assessments ($r = .17^{**}$) while communication was related to 275 promoting awareness ($r = .20^{**}$), goal setting ($r = 2.23^{**}$) and having safety policies ($r = .21^{**}$). 276 Not surprisingly, higher inter-correlations were identified between the five safety climate factors 277 e.g., communication and management commitment ($r = .78^{**}$). 278

279 4. Conclusions

280 This study aimed to examine employees' perceptions regarding various occupational road safety initiatives and explore what factors influence such perceptions. In regards to perceptions, a 281 range of engineering/technology as well as human factors initiatives were considered moderately 282 effective, such as purchasing safer vehicles, investigating serious vehicle incidents and practical 283 284 driver skills training. It is noteworthy that research has yet to conclusively determine whether such approaches are in fact effective at improving road safety. More specifically, while utilising safer 285 vehicles and investigating incidents makes intuitive sense, research has yet to demonstrate that 286 increasing driver training skills and targeting safety assistance for high risk drivers actually 287 improves driving outcomes. Of interest is that some of the initiatives that were considered least 288 effective, were countermeasures currently widely undertaken in Australia, such as "advertising 289 company phone numbers on vehicles". Additionally, monitoring driver behaviour with in-car data 290 recorders was considered the sixth least effective initiative, which is surprising given that in-vehicle 291 monitoring systems are: (a) being increasingly embraced within the fleet industry and (b) beginning 292 to produce positive results [56]. In regards to the latter, a number of preliminary trials have 293 reported improvements in fleet safety [57] including a reduction in crashes [54, 58, 59, 60]. This 294 finding may be explained by the human propensity to display negative attitudes towards 295 296 interventions they have little exposure to [61]. Further research is required into fleet drivers' acceptance of installing technology in vehicles, as research is identifying that user acceptance is a 297 multifaceted concept that can influence program success e.g., Technology Acceptance Model [62]. 298 The lack of research into user acceptance of in-vehicle systems is a critical oversight given the 299 increasing implementation of the technology in Australia. 300

301 In regards to the influence of safety climate on perceptions of initiative effectiveness as well as self-reported aberrant driving behaviours, the findings were mixed. On the one hand, expected 302 correlations were found between factor loadings of safety climate and the perceived effectiveness of 303 initiatives e.g., trusting relationships and communicating cost benefits of safety to employees. On 304 the other hand, a clear link was not identified between safety climate and self-reported crash 305 involvement or incurring fines. This could be due to the small percentage of the sample who were 306 actually involved in such incidents in the 12 months, or the finding could also reflect on-going 307 difficulties conceptualising and operationalising safety culture and climate. Additional limitations 308 associated with this study include the accuracy of self-report data, including the tenuous link 309 between self-reported behaviours and other objective measures. On-going difficulties associated 310 with measuring the nature and impact of safety culture are well documented in the literature [38, 311 40], yet the concept is increasingly being utilised to direct the implementation of safety initiatives 312 [40]. Finally, further research is needed to determine the organisational processes that both 313 facilitate and maximise a collective motivation to improve safety. Preliminary research has 314

315 demonstrated that institutional forces can positively influence employees' general perceptions of initiatives in the workplace [63] and employee's perceived effectiveness of interventions actually 316 impacts upon safety outcomes [64]. Taken together, continued research to both identify and 317 enhance the processes that increase intervention acceptance and the corresponding effectiveness of 318 actual fleet interventions can only assist in reducing the burden of road crashes. It is also noted that 319 the effectiveness of interventions can be measured in different ways, and future research may 320 benefit from undertaking a multi-modal approach to examine the impact of interventions e.g., self-321 report, incident reports, etc. This research has demonstrated that while the relationship between 322 perceived effectiveness of interventions and safety climate is not always clear, workers may be 323 willing to participate in a range of engineering and human-factor initiatives. 324

325

326 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Motor Accident Insurance Commission who provides
support for the Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety – Queensland.

329

330 Conflict of Interest

331 The authors declare no conflict of interest

332

333 **References and Notes**

Davey, J.; Wishart, D.,; Freeman, J.; Watson, B. An application of the driver behaviour
 questionnaire in an Australian organisational fleet setting. *Transportation Research Part F* 2007,
 10, 11–21.

- 2. Newnam, S.; Watson, B.; Murray, W. A comparison of the factors influencing the safety of
- 338 work-related drivers in work and personal vehicles. *Proceedings of the Road Safety Research*,
- 339 *Policing and Education Conference*, Adelaide, 2002 [CD-ROM].
- 340 *3.* Sullman, M.J.; Meadows, M.; Pajo, K.B. Aberrant driving behaviours amongst New Zealand
 truck drivers. *Transportation Research Part F* 2002, *5*, 217-232.
- 4. Xie, C.; Parker, D. A social psychological approach to driving violations in two Chinese cities. *Transportation Research Part F* 2002, *5*, 293-308.
- 5. Öz, B.; Özkan, T.; Lajunen, T. An investigation of the relationship between organizational
- climate and professional drivers' driver behaviours. *Safety Science* **2010**, *48*, 1484-1489.
- 6. Haworth, N.; Tingvall, V.; Kowadlo, N. Review of Best Practice Fleet Safety Initiatives in the
- 347 Corporate and/or Business Environment. Monash University Accident Research Centre,
- 348 Melbourne, 2000.

- 349 7. Safe Work Australia. Work-related Traumatic Injury Fatalities Australia 2013. Safe Work
 350 Australia: Canberra, 2014.
- 8. Maycock, G.; Lester, J.; Lockwood, C. The Accident Liability of Car Drivers: The Reliability of
- 352 Self-report Data, TRL Report 219. Transport Research Laboratory, Crowthorne, 1996.
- 353 9. Fatal Workplace Injuries in 2006: A Collection of Data and Analysis. Available online at
- http://www.bls.gov/iif/cfoibulletin2006.htm.
- 10. Reason, J.; Manstead, A.; Stradling, S.; Baxter, J.; Campbell, K. Errors and violations: a real
 distinction? *Ergonomics* 1990, *33*, 1315-1332.
- 11. Stradling, S.G.; Meadows, M.L.; Beatty, S. Driving as part of your work may damage your
 health. In G.B. Crayson (Ed.), *Behavioural research in road safety IX, Crowthorne:* Transport
 Research Laboratory, 2000.
- 360 12. Darby, P.; Murray, W.; Raeside, R. Applying online fleet driver assessment to help identify,
- target and reduce occupational road safety risks. *Accident Analysis and Prevention, Safety Science*2009, 47, 436-442.
- 13. Wishart, D. E.; Davey, J. D. A research based case study approach to the development of fleet
 safety interventions in large vehicle fleets. In *Safety In Action Conference*, 30 March 1 April,
 Melbourne, Victoria, 2004.
- 14. Davey, J.; Freeman, J.; Wishart, D.; Rowland, B. Developing and implementing fleet safety
 interventions to reduce harm: where to from here? *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Safety Science and Technology*, China, 2008, 24-27.
- 15. Brown, I.; Groeger, J.; Biehl, B.; (1987). Is training contributing enough to road safety? In *Road Users and Traffic Safety*; Rothengatter, J.A., de Bruin, R.A., Eds.; Van Gorcum:
 Assen/Maastricht, 1987; pp. 135-156.
- 16. Christie, R. The Effectiveness of Driver Training as a Road Safety Measure: A Review of the
- *Literature*. Royal Automobile Club of Victoria (RACV): Melbourne, 2001.
- 17. Rowland, B. D.; Wishart, D. E.; Davey, J. D. Occupational Fleet Safety Research: A Case Study
- 375 Approach. In 13th Annual Occupational Health and Safety Conference (Visions 2005), 27-30
- 376 September 2005, Cairns, Qld, 2005.
- 18. Groeger, J.; Clegg, B. *Practice and Instruction When Learning to Drive*. Road Research
- 378 Report, No. 14, London, 2000
- 19. Groeger, J.; Brady, S. Differential Effects of Formal and Informal Driver Training.
- 380 RoadResearch Report, N0.42, London, 2004.

- 381 20. Newnam, S.; Watson, B. Work-related driving safety in light vehicles: a review of past research
- and the development of an intervention framework. *Safety Science* **2011**, *49*, 369-381.
- 383 21. Gregersen, N.; Brehmer, B.; Moren, B. Road safety improvement in large companies. *Accident*384 *Analysis and Prevention* 1996, 29, 745-757.
- 22. Mortimer, R.; Goldstein, K.; Armstrong, R.; Macrina, D. Effects of incentives and enforcement
- on the use of seat belts by drivers. *Journal of Safety Research* **1990**, *21*(1), 25-37.
- 23. Newnam, S.; Watson, B. A participative education program to reduce speeding in a group of
- 388 work-related drivers. Proceedings of Australasian Road Safety Research, Policing and Education
- 389 *Conference*, New South Wales, 10-12 November, 2009.
- 390 24. Haworth, N.; Greig, K.; Wishart, D. Improving fleet safety Current approaches and best
- 391 *practice guidelines*. Austroads: Sydney, Australia, 2008.
- 25. Murray, W. Worldwide Occupational Road Safety (WORS) Review Project, 2007.
- 26. Banks, T.; Davey, J.; Biggs, H. Employee perceptions regarding the effectiveness of occupational road safety initiatives. Proceedings of the *Occupational Safety in Transport Conference*, Crowne Plaza, Gold Coast, QLD, 20-21 September 2012,
- 396 27. Watson, B.C. When common sense just won't do: Misconceptions about changing the behaviour
- 397 of road users. In: The 2nd International Conference on Accident Investigation, Reconstruction,
- Interpretation and the Law, Brisbane, Queensland, 20-23 October 1997.
- Wills, A.; Watson, B.; Biggs, H. Comparing safety climate factors as predictors of work-related
 driving behaviour. *Journal of Safety Research* 2006, *37*, 375-383.
- 401 29. Wishart, D.; Freeman, J.; Davey, J.; Rowland, B.; Wilson, A. When non-significance may be
- 402 significant: lessons learned from a study into the development, implementation and evaluation of a
- 403 risk assessment tool for fleet settings. In Driver Behaviour and Training, Vol 4. Human Factors in
- 404 *Road and Rail Safety;* L. Horn, Ed.; Aldershot: Ashgate, 2011.
- 405 30. Weiner, B.; Amick, H.; Lee, S. Conceptualization and Measurement of Organizational Research
- 406 and Other Fields Readiness for Change: A Review of the Literature in Health Services. *Medical*
- 407 *Care Research Review* **2008**, *65*, 379-436.
- 408 31. Riebl, S.; Estabrooks, P.; Dunsmore, J.; Savla, J.; Frisard, M.; Dietrich, A.; Peng, Y.; Zhang, X.;
- 409 Davy, B. A systematic literature review and meta-analysis: the theory of planned behavior's
- 410 application to understand and predict nutrition-related behaviors in youth. *Eating Behaviors* 2015,
- 411 *18*, 160-178.

- 412 32. Maybeck, H.; Silva, A.; Brannan, S.; Tekell, J.; Mahurin, R.; McGinnis, S.; Jerabek, P. The
- functional neuroanatomy of the placebo effect. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 2002, *159*(5),
 728-737.
- 33. Celebi, S. How do motives affect attitudes and behaviors toward internet advertising and
 Facebook advertising? *Computers in Human Behavior* 2015, *51*, 312-324.
- 417 34. Farmer, C.; Kirley, B.; McCartt, A. Effects of in-vehicle monitoring on the driving behavior of
- teenagers. *Journal of Safety Research* **2010**, *41*, 39-45.
- 419
- 420 35. Lotan, T., & Toledo, T. Driving Patterns of young drivers within a graduated driver licensing
 421 system. Proceedings of the Transport Research Bureau, Washington: United States, 2007.
- 422 36. Newnam, S.; Watson, B.; Murray, W. Factors predicting intentions to speed in a work and 423 personal vehicle. *Transportation Research Part F* **2004**, *7*, 287-300.
- 424 37. Edwards, J.; Freeman, J.; Soole, D.; Watson, B. A framework for conceptualising traffic safety
 425 culture. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* 2014, *26*, 293-302.
- 38. Guldenmund, F. W. The nature of safety culture: A review of theory and research. *Safety Science* 2004,*34*, 215-257.
- 39. Zohar, D. Thirty years of safety climate research: reflections and future directions. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 2010, 42(5), 1517-1522.
- 40. Edwards, J. R. D.; Davey, J.; Armstrong, K. Returning to the roots of culture: A review and reconceptualisation of safety culture. *Safety Science* 2013, *55*, 70-80.
- 41. Banks, T.; Davey, J.; Brownlow, D. Driver education and fleet safety climate in an emergency
 service fleet. *Journal of Occupational Health and Safety* 2006, *22(4)*, 341-350.
- 434 42. Wills, A.R., Watson, B.; Biggs, H.C. The relative influence of fleet safety climate on work-
- related driver safety. *In Proceedings of the Road Safety Research, Education and Policing Conference*, Perth, Australia, 2004.
- 43. Wills, A.R.; Watson, B.; Biggs, H.C. An exploratory investigation into safety climate and
 work-related driving. *Work* 2009, *32*(1), *81-94*.
- 439 44. Mearns, K.; Whitaker, S. M.; Flin, R. Safety climate, safety management practice and safety
 440 performance in offshore environments. *Safety Science*, 2003, *41* (8), 641-680.
- 441 45. Huang, Y.H.; Zohar, D.; Robertson, M.M.; Garabet. A.; Lee, J.; Murphy, L.A. Development and
- validation of safety climate scales for lone workers using truck drivers as exemplar.
- 443 Transportation Research Part F 2013, 17, 5–19.

- 444 46. Newnam, S.; Griffin, M.; Mason, C. Safety in work vehicles: a multi-level study linking safety
- values and individual predictors to work-related driving crashes. *Journal of Applied Psychology* **2008**, *93*(3), 632-644.
- 447 47. Banks, T.; Freeman., J.; Davey, J. The influence of fleet safety culture on occupational driver
- 448 behaviours and traffic incidents. Proceedings of the second Occupation Safety in Transport
- 449 *Conference*, Gold Coast, Australia, 2014
- 450 48. Anderson, W.; Plowman, B.; Leven, B.; Fraine, G. Workplace Fleet Safety System. Brisbane,
- 451 Australia: Queensland Transport, 1998
- 452 49. Health and Safety Executive Driving at Work: Managing occupational road safety. Sudbury,
- 453 United Kingdom, 2000.
- 454 50. Murray, W.; Newnam, S.; Watson, B.; Davey, J.; Schonfeld, C. Evaluating and improving fleet
- safety in Australia. Australian Transport Safety Bureau (ATSB): Canberra, ACT, Australia, 2003.
- 456 51. Banks, T.; Davey, J.; Biggs, H.; King, M. (2010). A Review of the Effectiveness of
- 457 Occupational Road Safety Initiatives. In Driver Behaviour and Training; Dorn, L., Matthews, G.,
- 458 Glendon, I., Eds.; Ashgate, Farnham, England, 2010; Volume IV, pp. 229-239.
- 459 52. Ludwig, T. D. Intervening to improve the safety of delivery drivers: A systematic behavioral
 460 approach. *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management* 2000, *19*(4), 1-124.
- 461 53. Ludwig, T.; Geller, E. Improving the driving practices of pizza deliverers: Response
- deal generalization and moderating effects of driving history. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*
- **463 1991**, *24*, 31-34.
- 464 54. Wouters, P. I.; Bos, J. M. Traffic accident reduction by monitoring driver behaviour with in-car
 465 data recorders. *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 2000, *32*(5), 643-650.
- 466 55. Olson, R.; Austin, J. Behavior-based safety and working alone: The effects of a self-monitoring
- 467 package on the safe performance of bus operators. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*
- 468 *Management* **2001**, *21*(3), 5-43.
- 469 56. Horrey, W.; Lesch, M.; Dainoff, M.; Robertson, M.; Noy, Y. On-board safety monitoring
- 470 systems for driving: review, knowledge gaps and framework. *Journal of Safety Research* 2012, *43*,
 471 49-58.
- 472 57. Toledo, T.; Lotan, T. In-vehicle data recorder for evaluation of driving behaviour and safety.
- 473 *Transportation Research Record*, 2006, 112-119.
- 474 58. Lehmann, G.; Cheale, A. The contribution of onboard recording systems to road safety and
- 475 accident analysis. Proceedings of the 16th International Technical Conference on Enhanced Safety
- 476 to Vehicles, (ESV), 1998, pp 462-466.

- 477 59.Musicant, O., Lotan, T., Toledo, T. Safety correlation and implications of an in-vehicle data
- recorder on driver behavior. In: Preprints of the 86th Transportation Research Board Annual
- 479 Meeting, Washington, DC, **2007.**
- 60. Toledo, T.; Musicant, O.; Lotan, T. In-vehicle data recorders for monitoring and feedback on
 drivers' behaviour. *Transportation Research Part C* 2008, *16*, 320-331.
- 482 61. Aletraris, L.; Shelton, J.; Roman, P. Counselor attitudes toward contingency management for
- 483 substance use disorder: effectiveness, acceptability, and endorsement of incentives for treatment
- 484 attendance and abstinence. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 2015. Available online:
- 485 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2015.04.012
- 486 62. Regan, M.; Horberry, T.; Stevens, A. Driver Acceptance of New Technology: Theory,
- 487 Measurement and Optimisation. Ashgate Publishing Ltd: Farnham, 2014

488

- 489 63. Suskind, A.; Brymer, R.; Kim, W.; Lee, H.; Way, S. Attitudes and perceptions toward
- 490 affirmative action programs: an application of institutional theory. International Journal of
- 491 *Hospitality Management*, **2014**, *41*, 38-48.
- 492 64. Matlow, A.; Wray, R.,; Richardson, S. Attitudes and beliefs, not just knowledge, influence the
- 493 effectiveness of environmental cleaning by environmental service workers. *American Journal of*
- 494 Infection Control **2012**, 40(3), 260-262.
- 495

496

497

- 498
- 499