



**Queensland University of Technology**  
Brisbane Australia

This may be the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

[Headrick, Jonathon, Renshaw, Ian, Davids, Keith](#), Pinder, Ross, & Araujo, Duarte  
(2015)

The dynamics of expertise acquisition in sport: The role of affective learning design.

*Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16(1), pp. 83-90.

This file was downloaded from: <https://eprints.qut.edu.au/75840/>

**© Consult author(s) regarding copyright matters**

This work is covered by copyright. Unless the document is being made available under a Creative Commons Licence, you must assume that re-use is limited to personal use and that permission from the copyright owner must be obtained for all other uses. If the document is available under a Creative Commons License (or other specified license) then refer to the Licence for details of permitted re-use. It is a condition of access that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. If you believe that this work infringes copyright please provide details by email to [qut.copyright@qut.edu.au](mailto:qut.copyright@qut.edu.au)

**License:** Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 2.5

**Notice:** *Please note that this document may not be the Version of Record (i.e. published version) of the work. Author manuscript versions (as Submitted for peer review or as Accepted for publication after peer review) can be identified by an absence of publisher branding and/or typeset appearance. If there is any doubt, please refer to the published source.*

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.08.006>

1 The Dynamics of Expertise Acquisition in Sport: The Role of Affective Learning Design

2 Jonathon Headrick <sup>a,b</sup>, Ian Renshaw <sup>a,b</sup>, Keith Davids <sup>c,f</sup>, Ross A. Pinder <sup>d</sup>, Duarte Araújo <sup>e</sup>

3 <sup>a</sup> School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Victoria  
4 Park Road, Kelvin Grove, QLD 4059, Australia

5 <sup>b</sup> Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Musk  
6 Avenue, Kelvin Grove, QLD 4059, Australia

7 <sup>c</sup> Centre for Sports Engineering Research, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom

8 <sup>d</sup> Australian Paralympic Committee, Sydney Olympic Park, Sydney, Australia

9 <sup>e</sup> SpertLab, CIPER, Faculdade de Motricidade Humana, Universidade de Lisboa, Cruz  
10 Quebrada Dafundo, Portugal

11 <sup>f</sup> FiDiPro Programme, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

12 Corresponding author details:

13 Name: Jonathon Headrick

14 Email: [jj.headrick@qut.edu.au](mailto:jj.headrick@qut.edu.au)

15 Postal Address:

16 School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences

17 Queensland University of Technology

18 Victoria Park Road, Kelvin Grove

19 QLD 4059

20 Australia

21 Phone:

22

23

24

25 The Dynamics of Expertise Acquisition in Sport: The Role of Affective Learning Design

26 Abstract

27 *Objectives:* The aim of this position paper is to discuss the role of affect in designing  
28 learning experiences to enhance expertise acquisition in sport. The design of learning  
29 environments and athlete development programmes are predicated on the successful sampling  
30 and simulation of competitive performance conditions during practice. This premise is  
31 captured by the concept of representative learning design, founded on an ecological dynamics  
32 approach to developing skill in sport, and based on the individual-environment relationship.  
33 In this paper we discuss how the effective development of expertise in sport could be  
34 enhanced by the consideration of affective constraints in the representative design of learning  
35 experiences.

36 *Conclusions:* Based on previous theoretical modelling and practical examples we  
37 delineate two key principles of *Affective Learning Design*: (i) the design of emotion-laden  
38 learning experiences that effectively simulate the constraints of performance environments in  
39 sport; (ii) recognising individualised emotional and coordination tendencies that are  
40 associated with different periods of learning. Considering the role of affect in learning  
41 environments has clear implications for how sport psychologists, athletes and coaches might  
42 collaborate to enhance the acquisition of expertise in sport.

43 *Keywords:* representative design, affect, emotion, expertise, learning, ecological  
44 dynamics

45 The Dynamics of Expertise Acquisition in Sport: The Role of Affective Learning Design

46 **Introduction**

47 In sport, performers must adapt to the constraints of dynamic performance  
48 environments, with commensurate variable conditions and situations, while performing under  
49 different emotional states that constrain their cognitions, perceptions and actions (Jones,  
50 2003; Lewis, 2004). Despite the documented presence of emotions<sup>1</sup> in sport performance,  
51 thus far (see Vallerand & Blanchard, 2000), limited attention has been paid to the role that  
52 emotions might play during the acquisition and development of expertise. Traditionally,  
53 emotions have generally been viewed as negative and detrimental constraints on behaviour,  
54 considered better to be removed from practice task contexts until a skill is well established  
55 (Hutto, 2012). This reductionist approach to learning design is in line with traditional  
56 thinking in the acquisition of skill in which practice tasks are decomposed to putatively  
57 reduce the cognitive loading on performers as they attempt to enhance expertise (Lewis &  
58 Granic, 2000). Here we raise questions on the reductionist approach to learning design and  
59 discuss an alternate principled approach suggesting how affective constraints on behaviour  
60 may be included during the acquisition of expertise in sport, drawing on the theoretical  
61 rationale of ecological dynamics.

62 In existing research on movement behaviours, ideas from dynamical systems theory  
63 have been integrated with concepts from Gibsonian ecological psychology, forming the  
64 ecological dynamics approach to understanding performance and learning (Araújo, Davids, &  
65 Hristovski, 2006; Davids, Williams, Button, & Court, 2001; Warren, 2006). An ecological  
66 dynamics approach to enhancing expertise recognises the need for individuals to form mutual

---

<sup>1</sup> The broad term of affect refers to a range of phenomena such as feelings, emotions, moods, and personality traits that interact over different time scales. Here affect will be used interchangeably with emotion to follow previous modelling of cognition, emotion and action (Lewis, 2000a; Vallerand & Blanchard, 2000).

67 functional relationships with specific performance environments during practice and training  
68 (Araújo & Davids, 2011; Davids, Araújo, Vilar, Renshaw, & Pinder, 2013; Seifert, Button, &  
69 Davids, 2013). In a functionalist approach to the study of perception and action, Gibson  
70 (1979) emphasised the role of the environment and proposed that an individual's movements  
71 bring about changes in informational variables from which affordances (invitations for  
72 action) are perceived to support behaviours (Withagen, de Poel, Araújo, & Pepping, 2012).  
73 As a result a cyclic process is created where action and perception underpin goal-directed  
74 behaviours in specific performance environments (Gibson, 1979).

75         Studying emergent behaviours and the acquisition of expertise at this individual-  
76 environment scale of analysis takes into account how perceptions, actions, intentions, feelings  
77 and thoughts continuously emerge under the constraints of information external and internal  
78 to the individual (Seifert & Davids, 2012; Warren, 2006). Humans, conceptualised as  
79 complex dynamic systems, exhibit self-organising, coordination tendencies during learning  
80 and performance to achieve specific task objectives (Kelso, 1995; Lewis, 2000b). The  
81 informational variables in a specific performance environment, along with associated goals  
82 and intentions, constrain how each individual behaves (Davids, et al., 2001; Freeman, 2000;  
83 Juarrero, 2000). Coordination tendencies (e.g., behaviours in human movement systems)  
84 that are stable are described as attractors (Kelso, 1995; Zanone & Kelso, 1992). Stable  
85 attractors are states of system organisation that represent well learned, stable patterns of  
86 behaviour (Kelso, 1995; Thelen & Smith, 1994). It is important to note that coordination  
87 tendencies may be functional or dysfunctional in terms of meeting the demands of a specific  
88 task, or during learning (Warren, 2006). Depending on the depth or stability of an attractor,  
89 changes in informational variables that act as control parameters have the potential to perturb  
90 (disrupt) coordination tendencies (e.g., Balagué, Hristovski, Aragonés, & Tenenbaum, 2012;  
91 Passos et al., 2008). Perturbations can lead to phase transitions in coordination tendencies,

92 often producing changes in behaviour. Unstable system states correspond to a 'hill' above  
93 potential 'wells' where coordination tendencies may be variable and possibly less functional  
94 (Kelso, 1995; Vallacher & Nowak, 2009). Unstable system states are more open to influence  
95 by changes to informational variables both internal and external to an individual during  
96 performance (Davids, et al., 2001). Through practice and experience in sport, athletes,  
97 considered as dynamic movement systems, can learn to enhance stability of performance  
98 behaviours and increase their resistance to perturbations, including negative thoughts, and  
99 emotions (e.g., differences in ice climbing performance between experts and novices, see  
100 Seifert, Button, et al., 2013; Seifert et al., 2013). An important question for sport  
101 psychologists and coaches concerns how practice programmes can be designed to provide  
102 athletes with learning experiences that help them to exploit functional coordination  
103 tendencies (i.e. system states which are stable yet adaptable) under the affective constraints of  
104 sport performance.

105         Ecological dynamics is an integrated theoretical rationale of human behaviour that  
106 can underpin a principled approach to learning design in clinical (Newell & Valvano, 1998)  
107 and sport performance environments (Araújo, et al., 2006). The basis of behavioural change  
108 through learning involves the systematic identification and manipulation of system control  
109 parameters (informational constraints) to perturb stable states of organisation and facilitate  
110 transitions to more functional system states (Kelso, 1995, 2012). Attractors can take the form  
111 of intentions, and/or goals that a performer is 'attracted to' following changes in values of  
112 system control parameters (Davids, et al., 2013; Davids, et al., 2001; Warren, 2006). Stable  
113 system states often represent desired forms of organisation that are functional. Enhanced  
114 functionality, i.e. 'what works' (see Thelen & Smith, 1994), is achieved when an athlete  
115 establishes a successful relationship with a performance environment and task goals are  
116 achieved (e.g., through more accurate or faster performance outcomes). Simultaneously,

117 functional coordination tendencies can satisfy the psychological needs (i.e. ‘what feels good’)  
118 of each individual performer in particular performance situations (Carver, Sutton, & Scheier,  
119 2000; Hollis, Kloos, & Van Orden, 2009; Lewis, 2004). In order for a behavioural attractor  
120 to become stable through learning, the intrinsic dynamics (the predispositions and tendencies)  
121 of each performer and the task dynamics (e.g., specific performance requirements) must  
122 converge (Davids, et al., 2001; Zanone & Kelso, 1992). The relative stability of behavioural  
123 attractors is important to facilitate achievement of successful performance at specific points  
124 in time. But, learning environments also need to be dynamic and variable to allow an  
125 individual to adapt to changing individual, task and environmental constraints over the short  
126 and long time timescales of development (Lewis, 2002; Newell, 1986). A key task for sport  
127 psychologists and practitioners is to understand how to effectively manipulate constraints to  
128 facilitate the development of new behavioural attractor patterns essential for expertise  
129 acquisition.

130 Sport psychologists have begun to identify control parameters to design effective  
131 learning environments that are carefully matched to each individual’s intrinsic dynamics, or  
132 predispositional behavioural tendencies. Carefully designed learning environments can guide  
133 athletes towards *metastable* performance regions, in which a functional blend of coordination  
134 stability and adaptability can result in rich behavioural solutions emerging (Hristovski,  
135 Davids, Araújo, & Button, 2006; Pinder, Davids, & Renshaw, 2012). Metastability is a state  
136 of partial organisation where a system ‘hovers’ in a state of dynamic stability, switching  
137 between functional states of organisation in response to changing constraints, and displaying  
138 subsequent behavioural flexibility (variability, instability) (Fingelkurts & Fingelkurts, 2004;  
139 Phillips, Davids, Araújo, & Renshaw, 2014). Metastability allows a system to transit rapidly  
140 between co-existing functional states of organisation, essential for adaptive performance  
141 behaviours in dynamic environments (Chow, Davids, Hristovski, Araújo, & Passos, 2011;



142 Kelso, 2012; Kelso & Tognoli, 2009). During learning events in specific performance  
143 environments, being in a state of metastability allows performers to discover and explore  
144 performance solutions (Kelso, 1995; Seifert, Button, et al., 2013). In sport, empirical data  
145 has revealed how locating samples of boxers and cricketers in metastable performance  
146 regions during practice helped them to explore and exploit rich and creative performance  
147 solutions to achieve their task goals (Hristovski, et al., 2006; Pinder, et al., 2012).

148         Adopting novel and potentially functional states of system organisation is a  
149 consequence of learning and/or development, as individuals transit from the ‘known’ to the  
150 ‘unknown’, i.e., moving from a familiar task or situation to one that is new or different. Of  
151 interest to sport psychologists is the fact that increases in movement variability during phases  
152 of learning are often accompanied by increased intensity and range of emotions (Lewis,  
153 2004). These emotions can be attributed to: (i) the challenges of learning a new movement  
154 pattern; (ii) the perceived risk of failure to achieve specific performance outcomes; and (iii),  
155 the underlying uncertainty and/or excitement associated with performing in an unknown  
156 situation. Observable changes in behaviours and emotions of athletes are of importance since  
157 they can act as predictors for potential phase transitions in system behaviours, such as  
158 coordinated movement response characteristics (Chow, et al., 2011; Kelso, 1995). The  
159 theoretical rationale of ecological dynamics suggests that it is essential to design learning  
160 environments that guide athletes towards metastable regions of a perceptual-motor workspace  
161 during performance (physically and emotionally) to aid the acquisition of expertise in sport  
162 (Oudejans & Pijpers, 2009; Pinder, et al., 2012). In achieving this aim, an important  
163 challenge for sport psychologists and practitioners is how to design learning environments  
164 that successfully simulate key constraints of competitive performance environments in sport.  
165 Egon Brunswik (1956) advocated that, for the study of individual-environment relations, cues  
166 or perceptual variables should be sampled from an organism’s environment to be

167 *representative* of the environmental stimuli that they are adapted from, and to which  
168 behaviour is intended to be generalised (Araújo, Davids, & Passos, 2007; Pinder, Davids,  
169 Renshaw, & Araújo, 2011b). The term *representative design* captures the idea of sampling  
170 perceptual variables from an individual's performance environment to be designed into an  
171 experimental task (Brunswik, 1956). Recent work has considered how the concept of  
172 representative design can be applied to the study of sport performance (Araújo, et al., 2006;  
173 Araújo, et al., 2007). Inspired by Brunswik's (1956) insights, the term *representative*  
174 *learning design* (RLD) has been proposed to highlight the importance of creating  
175 representative environments for learning skills and developing expertise (Davids, Araújo,  
176 Hristovski, Passos, & Chow, 2012; Pinder, et al., 2011b).

177 Previous empirical work on RLD (Pinder, Davids, Renshaw, & Araújo, 2011a) has  
178 focussed on visual information provided during practice in training environments of elite  
179 athlete programmes (Barris, Davids, & Farrow, 2013), and changes to the complexity of  
180 organisation in tasks for practising passing skills in team games (Travassos, Duarte, Vilar,  
181 Davids, & Araújo, 2012). These examples advocate expertise acquisition by nurturing the  
182 relationship between key environmental information sources and coordination tendencies of a  
183 performer in order for more adaptable and effective movement behaviours to emerge  
184 (Davids, et al., 2013; Phillips, Davids, Renshaw, & Portus, 2010). From this perspective the  
185 development of expertise is predicated on the accurate simulation of key performance  
186 constraints during practice/learning. This approach differs from traditional methods of  
187 decomposing tasks to isolate individual components, in order to manage the information load  
188 confronting learners (Phillips, et al., 2010; Pinder, Renshaw, & Davids, 2013).

189 An aspect of RLD that needs attention in future conceptualisation of learning and  
190 practice is the role of affective constraints on behaviour (for initial discussions see, Pinder,  
191 Renshaw, Headrick, & Davids, 2014). In sport, performers need to be able to adapt to task

192 constraints while performing under differing emotional states induced in competitive  
193 performance that can influence their cognitions, perceptions and actions (Jones, 2003; Lewis,  
194 2004). Previous work investigating affect in sport performance has tended to focus on  
195 capturing the emotions of athletes in ‘snapshots’ of performance at one point in time, such as  
196 before or after competition (for a recent example see Lane, Beedie, Jones, Uphill, &  
197 Devenport, 2012). Such an approach, however, has not considered how emotions might  
198 continuously interact with intentions, cognitions, perception and actions to constrain the  
199 acquisition of functional coordination patterns and the development of expertise. A holistic  
200 approach should consider task demands of learning environments and the dynamic  
201 psychological state of each individual learner as interacting constraints influencing  
202 behavioural (perception-action couplings), cognitive, and emotional tendencies (Davids, et  
203 al., 2013; Newell, 1986). These ideas suggest how sport psychologists and practitioners may  
204 seek ways to sample the intensity of emotionally-charged performance conditions in learning  
205 environments and practice simulations. To address this issue, in the following sections of this  
206 paper, we will discuss why and how emotions could be incorporated into representative  
207 learning designs to enhance acquisition of expertise in sport.

### 208 **Affective Learning Design**

209 Yet to be seen in the literature is a principled exploration of the role of emotions in  
210 developing expertise in sport (Pinder, et al., 2014; Renshaw, Headrick, & Davids, 2014). The  
211 role of affect in developing expertise might be harnessed by adhering to two principles: (i)  
212 the design of emotion-laden learning experiences that effectively simulate the constraints and  
213 demands of performance environments in sport; (ii) recognising individualised emotional and  
214 behavioural tendencies that are indicative of learning. These principles suggest, two  
215 complementary perspectives on *Affective Learning Design* (ALD), linking the development

216 of representative learning designs with the identification and recognition of individual  
217 behavioural tendencies exhibited while learning.

218         Benefits of creating emotion-laden learning events have been demonstrated within the  
219 psychology literature. Emotions influence perceptions, actions and intentions during  
220 decision-making, with the intensity of emotion generated reflecting the significance of stimuli  
221 to an individual, shaping the strength of the response on the visual cortex (Pessoa, 2011).  
222 Emotion also acts to strengthen memories (positive or negative) and produces greater  
223 engagement in ambiguous, unpredictable, or threatening situations when individual and group  
224 goals are influenced (e.g. learning when failure might have significant consequences such as  
225 non selection for a team, or a team failing to qualify for a future event) (LaBar & Cabeza,  
226 2006; Pessoa, 2011).

227         Despite these proposed benefits, the role of emotion in the pursuit of expertise in sport  
228 has often been neglected (or removed) during practice because emotion-laden responses are  
229 traditionally considered irrational or instinctive, and therefore perceived as a negative  
230 influence on action (Hutto, 2012). A neglected issue is that a significant constraint in  
231 competitive performance environments is the emergent emotional tendencies of each  
232 individual. Therefore a key question is, how can individuals be supported while exploring  
233 and exploiting emotional constraints when learning to perform in competitive performance  
234 environments? Emotionless responses made from a purely informational stance have been  
235 described as ‘cold cognition’, and emotion-laden responses as ‘hot cognition’ (Abelson,  
236 1963). The expression of ‘sit on your hands’ in relation to choosing a move in a game of  
237 chess exemplifies a traditional view that it is necessary to suppress or remove emotions in  
238 order to make more rational decisions (i.e. cold cognition) (Charness, Tuffiash, &  
239 Jastrzemski, 2004). However, during competitive performance in sport, athletes are often  
240 not afforded this ‘thinking’ time and need to be able to act immediately based on the initial,

241 fleeting interaction between their perceptions of the task and pre-existing physical, cognitive,  
242 and emotional capabilities (Davids, 2012). This performance capacity has been referred to as  
243 ‘ultrafast’ behaviours (Riley, Shockley, & Van Orden, 2012).

244 Progress in understanding emotions during learning has also been limited by a  
245 tendency towards traditional linear thinking, where cognitions related to events are  
246 considered to result in preconceived emotional reactions (Lewis & Granic, 2000). Some  
247 psychologists have recently begun to acknowledge the advantages of considering humans as  
248 complex, highly integrated dynamical systems in explaining emergent behaviours (Lewis,  
249 1996; Lewis & Granic, 2000). From this approach cognition and emotion are considered to  
250 constrain each other interactively (similar to processes of perception and action), with  
251 cognitions bringing about emotions, and emotions shaping cognitions (Lewis, 2004). This  
252 cyclical interaction underpins the emergent self-organisation of cognitions and emotions  
253 experienced during task performance (Lewis, 1996, 2000a). Established emotional  
254 experiences represent stable patterns of behaviour that are formed when emotional and  
255 cognitive changes/responses become embodied in behavioural tendencies (Lewis, 2000a). In  
256 other words, intertwined emotions, cognitions, and actions can become stable, characteristic  
257 responses to particular experiences (Lewis, 1996, 2004). In this line of thinking, affect,  
258 cognition, and behaviours exhibit self-organisational tendencies to underpin characteristic  
259 performance responses, and shape the intrinsic dynamics of an individual (Davids, et al.,  
260 2001; Schönér, Zanone, & Kelso, 1992). For example, in the development of personality,  
261 trait-like behaviours, thoughts and feelings become predictable, stable responses of an  
262 individual under certain performance conditions (Lewis, 1996).

263 During the development of emotional interpretations, changes in performance  
264 constraints may lead to metastable periods where an individual could rapidly transit towards  
265 one of a ‘cluster’ of possible cognitive-emotive states (Hollis, et al., 2009; Lewis, 2000b,

266 2004). When in a metastable performance region (for example during learning), behavioural  
267 tendencies of an individual would be expected to fluctuate (exhibit increased variability) until  
268 a more stable state of behaviour emerges (Chow, et al., 2011; Hollis, et al., 2009).  
269 Accompanying this variability in performance behaviours, variable and individualised  
270 emotional responses also emerge (Lewis, 1996, 2004). Much like movement variability,  
271 emotion during learning (and performance) has previously been considered as ‘unwanted  
272 system noise’ (Davids, Glazier, Araújo, & Bartlett, 2003; Smith & Thelen, 2003). An  
273 ecological dynamics approach questions this assumption, suggesting that the presence of  
274 emotion during learning is indicative of a performer being engaged in task performance as  
275 they seek to utilise available affordances to satisfy their intentions and goals (Jones, 2003;  
276 Seifert, Button, et al., 2013).

277         For example, gymnasts attempting routines on balance beams of increasing height  
278 have been found to display performance decrements, elevated heart rate, and increased  
279 prevalence of perceived dysfunctional emotions (e.g. reporting feeling nervous or scared)  
280 particularly on a first attempt (Cottyn, De Clercq, Crombez, & Lenoir, 2012). Similarly,  
281 comparisons of performance during climbing traverses, identical in design but differing in  
282 height from the ground, have revealed that higher traverses increased anxiety, elevated heart  
283 rates, lengthened climbing duration, and increased exploratory movements in climbers  
284 (Pijpers, Oudejans, & Bakker, 2005). Such findings highlight the intense emotions often  
285 involved with moving out of a ‘comfort zone’ when confronted with a new or more  
286 challenging task. This idea can also be interpreted through work in cybernetics where  
287 individuals are viewed to adapt to situations until reaching a critical point where they must  
288 undergo a shift or reorganisation to maintain effective action and emotion characteristics (see,  
289 Carver & Scheier, 1998, 2000; Carver, et al., 2000).

290 A relevant body of work has investigated the potential advantages to learning  
291 outcomes when training under pressure and the constraints of induced performance anxiety in  
292 a range of tasks (Oudejans, 2008; Oudejans & Nieuwenhuys, 2009; Oudejans & Pijpers,  
293 2009, 2010). This work focused on the task constraint of anxiety and training under pressure,  
294 with findings providing clear implications for developing context-specific expertise by  
295 acknowledging the role of emotions in learning. For example, in a dart throwing task  
296 participants who trained under the task constraint of mild anxiety were found to more  
297 successfully maintain their performance levels in high anxiety conditions, compared with  
298 those who trained in low anxiety conditions (Oudejans & Pijpers, 2010). In this case anxiety  
299 was manipulated by positioning dart throwers at different heights on an indoor climbing wall  
300 (also see, Oudejans & Pijpers, 2009). Similar findings were revealed in a study comparing  
301 the role of pressure in a handgun shooting task involving police officers (Oudejans, 2008).  
302 Here the control group (low pressure) shot at cardboard targets, while a high pressure group  
303 shot at opponents who could fire back with marking cartridges. Prior to practice, the  
304 performance of both groups was found to deteriorate when switching from low to high  
305 pressure task constraints. After completing three practice sessions, performance scores  
306 indicated that the shooting performance of the experimental group was maintained for the  
307 high pressure condition. In comparison, the performance of the control group deteriorated  
308 under high pressure as observed prior to the practice sessions. Induced anxiety was again  
309 used as a task constraint during practice sessions in an attempt improve basketball free throw  
310 shooting under pressure (Oudejans & Pijpers, 2009). Participants in an experimental group  
311 were made aware that their practice sessions were being recorded, viewed and evaluated,  
312 along with being constrained by simulated competitive performance scenarios and the  
313 possibility of receiving performance rewards. As with the previous examples, the  
314 experimental group was found to maintain free throw performance during low pressure tasks

315 into high pressure tasks. The performance of the control group, who practiced under low  
316 anxiety, deteriorated in high pressure conditions following five weeks of practice.

317         The findings of these studies have clear implications for how affective task constraints  
318 can be manipulated for the acquisition of expertise in sport. The data highlight that sport  
319 psychologists need to consider how behaviour and performance outcomes can be constrained  
320 by simulated emotional and cognitive states of individual performers during practice. In  
321 acquiring expertise, performers will experience periods of failure or success as they strive to  
322 achieve a high level of ‘fitness’ for specific performance landscapes (Collins & MacNamara,  
323 2012). Learning environments need to be designed to include situation-specific informational  
324 constraints that shape and regulate movement behaviours and the emotional constraints of a  
325 task in relation to the intentions of a performer (Davids, et al., 2001). From this approach,  
326 emotions are influenced by the constraints of the task and also act as constraints on future  
327 behaviours emerging across interacting timescales (i.e. performance, learning and  
328 development timescales) (Lewis, 2000a, 2004). Drawing on this interaction, ALD advocates  
329 for the design of emotion-laden learning experiences that represent the constraints of  
330 competitive performance and promote the acquisition of expertise within/for that context.  
331 Underpinning the design of representative experiences is the observation and analysis of  
332 emotions in conjunction with movement behaviour to identify periods of learning.

### 333                                   **Affective Learning Design in Practice**

334         The intertwined relationship between movement behaviour and emotions poses many  
335 challenges and implications for sport psychologists and other practitioners interested in  
336 understanding how the concept of ALD can be applied to the acquisition of expertise in sport.  
337 Key considerations for implementing ALD include (i) adopting an individualised approach,  
338 (ii) acknowledging different time scales of learning, and (iii) embedding emotions in situation  
339 -specific task constraints. Sport psychologists implementing ALD need to sample, predict



340 and plan for the potential emotional and cognitive circumstances in competition, and  
341 adequately sample them in learning simulations. This premise links to the two previously  
342 identified principles of ALD regarding the design of representative emotion-laden learning  
343 experiences, and identifying emotional and behavioural tendencies that are indicative of  
344 learning. The following discussion of these ideas includes a series of practical examples of  
345 how ALD might be embraced by sport psychologists, pedagogues, coaches, and athletes.

### 346 **The individualisation of affect**

347       Of major significance for the design of affective learning environments is catering for  
348 individual differences between performers. Sport psychologists must collaborate with  
349 coaches to exploit their experiential knowledge to individually tailor learning experiences  
350 based on skill level, personalities, learning styles, and psychological strengths/weaknesses  
351 (Renshaw, Davids, Shuttleworth, & Chow, 2009). For example, it is worth considering some  
352 data on how skill-based differences might interact with emotions to constrain cognitions,  
353 perceptions and actions of different individuals (Seifert, Button & Davids, 2013). A  
354 comparison of the performance of ice climbers revealed that the intra-individual movement  
355 choices (e.g. kicking, hooking into the ice) and inter-limb coordination modes of novices  
356 displayed less variability than those of experts (Seifert & Davids, 2012). In this research  
357 novices tended to intentionally adopt an 'X' position with their arms and legs that provided  
358 highly stable interactions with the surface of the ice. These coordination patterns were  
359 functional for novices since they provided stability on the ice surface. However, adoption of  
360 these highly secure patterns was not functional for the goal of climbing the ice fall quickly, as  
361 demonstrated by the levels of variability in positioning of the experts. The implication is that  
362 energy efficiency and competitive performance were not prioritised in the goals of novice  
363 performers, whose specific coordination tendencies emerged as a function of their fear in

364 interacting with the ice surface. This emotion was a major constraint on their particular  
365 cognitions, perceptions and actions.

366         In this example, the intentions (i.e. stable position vs. efficient and effective climbing  
367 movements) of each performer, based on their individualised perception of affordances,  
368 provide scope for a coach or sport psychologist to design targeted learning events. Key  
369 constraints can then be implemented and manipulated to simulate challenges that are  
370 anticipated to enhance situation-specific expertise at an individualised level, based on  
371 identified stable emotional and behavioural tendencies. In implementing this approach a  
372 coach could develop an understanding of the most successful methods for pushing each  
373 performer into metastable regions, where established action-emotion tendencies become  
374 destabilised. As a result, the performer will be forced to explore performance environments  
375 simulated during learning to harness new functional states of stable system organisation, or at  
376 least experience situations with different task demands (Chow, et al., 2011; Renshaw, et al.,  
377 2009). This approach is synonymous with psychological ‘profiling’ and shares some ideas  
378 with the notion of individual zones of optimal functioning (IZOF) model advocated by Hanin  
379 (e.g. Hanin, 2007; Hanin & Hanina, 2009) in which the interaction between emotions and  
380 actions during optimal performance is considered to be highly individualised.

### 381 **Time-scales and affects**

382         The individualised nature of emotions must also take into account the different  
383 interacting time scales of learning that influence the development of expertise (Newell, Liu,  
384 & Mayer-Kress, 2001). The critical relationship between the timescale of perception and  
385 action (short term over seconds and minutes) and those of learning and development (longer  
386 term over days, weeks and months) predicates how an individual might approach specific  
387 situational constraints. From a complex systems perspective, perception and action constrain  
388 the emergence of long term patterns or behavioural states (Lewis, 2000a, 2002). Initial

389 experiences of a performer will influence how he/she approaches tasks in the future, which  
390 emphasises the importance of tailoring the design of learning tasks to individual needs at all  
391 stages of the expertise pathway (Côté, Baker, & Abernethy, 2003).

392 For example, qualitative evidence from interviews with expert team sport athletes  
393 revealed that the roles and expectations of coaches (and performers) change along the  
394 pathway to expert performance (Abernethy, Côté, & Baker, 2002). Perceptions of ‘expert’  
395 coaches at early stages of sport participation were based on creating positive environments  
396 (leading to positive emotions) that were engaging and fun while also developing basic skills.  
397 Essentially, these early experiences were more concerned about meeting the basic  
398 psychological need of learners to demonstrate competence (Renshaw, Oldham, & Bawden,  
399 2012), leading to higher levels of intrinsic motivation that sustain engagement over longer  
400 time frames necessary to achieve expertise. As athletes progressed through the developmental  
401 phases (i.e. from Romance to Precision to Integration, see Bloom, 1985) the relationship with  
402 the coach became more tightly coupled and tended to increasingly emphasise the acquisition  
403 of sport specific knowledge for managing the physical, emotional, and cognitive needs at an  
404 individual level (Abernethy, et al., 2002; Côté, et al., 2003).

405 Hence, by designing learning environments that cater for changing emotions,  
406 cognitions and actions, performers are more likely to engage with or ‘buy into’ the rigorous  
407 demands of long term development programmes (Renshaw, Chow, Davids, & Hammond,  
408 2010; Renshaw, et al., 2012). This reinforces the importance of recognising individualised  
409 physical and psychological tendencies across various periods of learning, as well as the  
410 critical role of a coach or sport psychologist in designing learning programmes that simulate  
411 and sample the intended performance environment to effectively accommodate such  
412 behavioural tendencies.

413 **Emotions are embedded in situation-specific task constraints**

414           Emotion-laden experiences are considered to energise behaviour and facilitate an  
415 investment in tasks because emotions add context to actions, rather than an athlete merely  
416 ‘going through the motions’ in isolated practice drills (Jones, 2003; Renshaw, et al., 2012).  
417 Creating individual and/or group engagement in learning experiences through the  
418 manipulation of specific constraints enhances the representativeness of a practice task.  
419 Through the inclusion of situation-specific information, the demands of a competitive  
420 performance environment can be simulated (Pinder, et al., 2011b; Pinder, et al., 2014). Based  
421 on this premise, to facilitate the holistic development of expertise, performers should be  
422 immersed in learning environments that challenge and stimulate both physically and  
423 psychologically to coincide with the constraints of prospective performance environments  
424 (Davids, et al., 2013; Renshaw, et al., 2012).

425           For example, rather than allowing an athlete to practise shots on a driving range, a  
426 coach might walk alongside a trainee golfer, creating specific ‘vignettes’ (e.g., 1 shot behind  
427 with one hole to play or 2 shots ahead in the same situation) to simulate competitive  
428 performance conditions under which a learner might need to adapt their golf shots (e.g., play  
429 more conservatively or take more risks). Some previous work in team sports research has  
430 incorporated vignettes into the design of practice and performance tasks to investigate how  
431 manipulating situational constraints might influence emergent behaviours in athletes. In  
432 basketball 1v1 sub-phases, the manipulation of instructional constraints to simulate  
433 competitive performance conditions was found to influence the specific intentions and  
434 emergent behaviours of attacking players (Cordovil et al., 2009). In that case game time and  
435 score-based scenarios were implemented to encourage players to experience adopting risk-  
436 taking, conservative, or neutral strategies that might emerge in competitive game play.  
437 Similarly, in football, 1v1 attacker-defender dyads, located at different locations on the field  
438 of play (by manipulating distance to the goal area) were found to constrain how attacking and

439 defending players interacted with each other (Headrick et al., 2012). Providing contextual  
440 information through vignettes engaged the players in the task by specifying goals or  
441 objectives that simulated typical game situations for each field position.

442 Further work originating from elite sport programmes has discussed the importance of  
443 designing practice tasks that effectively replicate competitive performance conditions for  
444 athletes. An example is the development of the 'Battle Zone' in cricket as an alternative to  
445 traditional, decomposed, net-based or centre wicket batting and bowling practice (Renshaw,  
446 Chappell, Fitzgerald, & Davison, 2010). The Battle Zone concept combines a regulation  
447 cricket pitch with a downscaled netted field area to increase involvement and intensity for all  
448 players, compared with full sized centre wicket practice. Vignette-based tasks such as the  
449 Battle Zone maintain the critical performer-environment interactions while also affording  
450 coaches the opportunity to manipulate specific performance constraints to physically and  
451 psychologically engage batters, bowlers and fielders simultaneously (Renshaw, Chappell, et  
452 al., 2010; Renshaw, et al., 2012).

453 Practice task designs, such as the Battle Zone, manipulate the space and time demands  
454 on players which is captured by the *Game Intensity Index* (GII) concept (Chow, Davids,  
455 Renshaw, & Button, 2013). The GII (pitch area in m<sup>2</sup>/number of players) can be used in  
456 various team and invasion games to create game intensities representative of competition,  
457 compare types of games, and cater for different levels of expertise. Coaches can  
458 systematically manipulate GII to match task demands to current performance capacity (i.e.,  
459 place the player's in their comfort zones) before pushing learners into metastable regions that  
460 lead to instability and hence increased range and intensity of emotions, cognitions and  
461 actions. For example, if a coach wished to observe how a young player could cope at the next  
462 performance level, (s)he could manipulate the GII to simulate the spatiotemporal demands of  
463 that level.

464 In fast ball sports like cricket and baseball, the temporal demands of batting become  
465 more severe as performance levels increase. In cricket, while present methods of preparing  
466 for this added temporal constraint often include resorting to bowling/ pitching machines or  
467 intensive net sessions with ‘throw-down’s by coaches from shorter distances, previous  
468 research has shown that removing essential information in practice tasks (i.e., the bowler)  
469 results in changes in batter’s timing and co-ordination (Pinder, et al., 2011a; Renshaw,  
470 Oldham, Davids, & Golds, 2007). A more effective way, could be to face current fast  
471 bowling teammates in Battle Zone vignettes, to replicate the time demands of facing faster  
472 bowlers. For example, to replicate a 150 kmh<sup>-1</sup> delivery a 140 kmh<sup>-1</sup> bowler would need to  
473 release the ball closer (1.75 m) to the batter than the ‘legal’ delivery distance to replicate the  
474 time (0.41 s) available when facing the 150 kmh<sup>-1</sup> bowler. As well as requiring the batter to  
475 adapt on a perception-action level, simulating the faster bowling speed also enables the batter  
476 to experience the potentially intense emotions associated with facing bowlers of this speed.  
477 These task constraints also allow learners to experience the consequent changes in  
478 perception, cognitions and actions associated with the interaction between internal and  
479 external constraints underpinning performance.

480 Other work in the sport of springboard diving studied the practice methods of athletes  
481 from an elite-level squad (Barris, Davids, et al., 2013; Barris, Farrow, & Davids, 2013). In  
482 these studies, elite divers were observed to baulk (preparation occurs but divers do not leave  
483 the board) during practice when the preparation phase was perceived as not being ideal for  
484 the performance of a selected dive (Barris, Farrow, et al., 2013). This behaviour posed  
485 problems for performance in competitive events where baulked dives result in reduced scores  
486 from judges. In a planned intervention, divers were required to avoid baulking unless it was  
487 perceived that an injury might occur. Barris and colleagues (2013) reported no significant  
488 differences in movement patterns between baulked and completed dives under these new task

489 constraints. However, quantitative analyses of variability within conditions, revealed greater  
490 consistency and lower levels of (dysfunctional) variability amongst dives completed *prior to*  
491 the training program, and greater levels of (functional) variability amongst dives completed  
492 *after* experiencing the training programme. It was concluded that divers should be  
493 encouraged to complete (where safe) all attempts to more functionally simulate the adaptive  
494 performance requirements of competition conditions. From an ALD approach, data  
495 suggested that under these practice task constraints, divers would be more frequently exposed  
496 to metastable regions enabling them to explore variable take off positions. These metastable  
497 regions were expected to enhance the development of expertise (through increased  
498 adaptability) by encouraging divers to complete dives where less than ‘optimal’ preparatory  
499 movements were evident. These changes to practice task design created more physically and  
500 emotionally demanding performance environments that better simulated competitive  
501 performance conditions. As predicted, the elite springboard divers displayed greater  
502 consistency in key performance outcome (dive entry). At the end of a twelve-week training  
503 program that required divers not to baulk, athletes demonstrated enhanced performance  
504 through increased levels of functional movement variability. Data suggested that the  
505 intervention resulted in them being able to adapt their movements in the preparatory phase  
506 and complete good quality dives under more varied take-off conditions. These results bring to  
507 light some important practical implications for athletes in training and competition by means  
508 of improving training representativeness, reducing performance anxiety and enhancing  
509 feelings of self-confidence (Barris, Davids, et al., 2013).

510         Each of the examples in this section illustrate how including representative, situation-  
511 specific constraints has the potential to embed emotions in learning environments.  
512 Considering such examples, in conjunction with the previously discussed body of work  
513 focussing on anxiety and training under pressure (e.g. Oudejans, 2008; Oudejans &

514 Nieuwenhuys, 2009; Oudejans & Pijpers, 2010), provides support for embracing emotions  
515 present in learning environments. The advantages to learning and performance outcomes  
516 reported (e.g. in dart throwing and basketball shooting) when training with anxiety, and the  
517 well established benefits of creating representative learning environments provide diverse,  
518 yet complementary, perspectives for how ALD can enhance the development of expertise in  
519 sport. By implementing ideas such as these, sports psychologists and coaches will be able to  
520 observe and analyse the integrated emotional and behavioural tendencies of athletes during  
521 learning. In turn, the identification of these emergent physical and psychological tendencies  
522 has the potential to underpin the design of further effective learning experiences.

523

### **Conclusions**

524       Founded on ecological dynamics principles, previous work has conceptualised and  
525 advocated a representative learning design for effective development of skill and expertise in  
526 sport. To take forward the understanding and application of this approach we have  
527 highlighted the importance of emotions in learning and introduced an integrated concept of  
528 ALD with potential scope for future theoretical modelling. Two key interlinked principles of  
529 ALD have been identified: (i) the design of emotion-laden learning experiences that  
530 effectively simulate the constraints and demands of performance environments , and (ii),  
531 recognising individualised emotional and behavioural tendencies that are associated with  
532 different periods of learning. Here we have argued that these key principles of ALD will be  
533 valuable in the acquisition of sport expertise by considering affect, cognitions, and actions  
534 together as intertwined individualised tendencies which constrain performance and learning.  
535 Enhanced understanding of individualised behavioural tendencies during learning will also  
536 aid the design of representative learning environments that more effectively develop  
537 situation-specific skills.



538           The concept of ALD also advocates designing learning environments at an  
539 individualised level, acknowledging different interacting time scales, and implementing  
540 vignettes or scenarios to provide context to tasks. This allows performers to experience the  
541 emotional feelings associated with performing in learning situations that simulate the external  
542 task demands of a ‘new’ environment. Therefore performers are provided the opportunity to  
543 experience how they would (potentially) respond emotionally (e.g. know what emotions were  
544 created and how intense they were), how this impacted on the way they thought (e.g.  
545 influencing their intentions/goals/motivations), and acted (how this affected their actions).  
546 ALD also allows the performer, sport psychologist, and coach to understand the impact of  
547 being placed in a metastable region (i.e. in a learning task) and the influence this has on  
548 affect, cognitions and behaviours. By recognising this interaction it is envisaged that  
549 performers and sport psychologists will begin to understand that variability is a normal (in  
550 fact desirable) consequence of learning that can be incorporated to develop enhanced learning  
551 experiences in the future.

552           Future research should aim to investigate the relationship between affect, cognition,  
553 and action during learning experiences to provide further support for this, and potentially  
554 expanded, ALD models. Upholding a focus on individualised approaches is imperative to  
555 effectively capture how individual learners interact with specific task demands and  
556 environments. This theoretical conceptualisation of how affect, cognition, and action interact  
557 provides implications for the design of integrated, systems-oriented learning environments  
558 that enhance the acquisition of expertise in sport through enhancing the functionality of  
559 individual-environment relationships.

560

561

## 562 References

- 563 Abelson, R. P. (1963). Computer simulation of "hot cognition". In S. Tomkins & S. Messick  
564 (Eds.), *Computer Simulation of Personality* (pp. 277-298). New York: Wiley.
- 565 Abernethy, B., Côté, J., & Baker, J. (2002). *Expert decision-making in team sports*. Canberra,  
566 ACT: Technical Report for the Australian Institute of Sport.
- 567 Araújo, D., & Davids, K. (2011). What exactly is acquired during skill acquisition. *Journal of*  
568 *Consciousness Studies*, 18(3-4), 7-23.
- 569 Araújo, D., Davids, K., & Hristovski, R. (2006). The ecological dynamics of decision making  
570 in sport. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 7, 653-676. doi:  
571 10.1016/j.psychsport.2006.07.002
- 572 Araújo, D., Davids, K., & Passos, P. (2007). Ecological validity, representative design, and  
573 correspondance between experimental task constraints and behavioral setting:  
574 Comment on Rogers, Kadar, and Costall (2005). *Ecological Psychology*, 19, 69-78.
- 575 Balagué, N., Hristovski, R., Aragonés, D., & Tenenbaum, G. (2012). Nonlinear model of  
576 attention focus during accumulated effort. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 13, 591-  
577 591.
- 578 Barris, S., Davids, K., & Farrow, D. (2013). Representative Learning Design in Springboard  
579 Diving: Is dry-land training representative of a pool dive? *European Journal of Sport*  
580 *Science*, 13(6), 638-645. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2013.770923
- 581 Barris, S., Farrow, D., & Davids, K. (2013). Do the kinematics of a baulked take-off in  
582 springboard diving differ from those of a completed dive. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,  
583 31(3), 305-313. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2012.733018
- 584 Bloom, B. S. (Ed.). (1985). *Developing talent in young people*. New York, NY: Ballantine.
- 585 Brunswik, E. (1956). *Perception and the representative design of psychological experiements*  
586 (2nd ed.). Berkeley: University of California Press.

- 587 Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (1998). *On the self-regulation of behavior*. Cambridge, UK:  
588 Cambridge University Press.
- 589 Carver, C. S., & Scheier, M. F. (2000). Autonomy and self-regulation. *Psychological Inquiry*,  
590 *11*(4), 284-291.
- 591 Carver, C. S., Sutton, S. K., & Scheier, M. F. (2000). Action, emotion, and personality:  
592 Emerging conceptual integration. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *26*,  
593 741-751. doi: 10.1177/0146167200268008
- 594 Charness, N., Tuffiash, M., & Jastrzemski, T. (2004). Motivation, emotion, and expert skill  
595 acquisition. In D. Y. Dai & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Motivation, Emotion, and*  
596 *Cognition: Intergrative Perspectives on Intellectual Functioning and Development*  
597 (pp. 299-319). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 598 Chow, J. Y., Davids, K., Hristovski, R., Araújo, D., & Passos, P. (2011). Nonlinear  
599 pedagogy: Learning design for self-organizing neurobiological systems. *New Ideas in*  
600 *Psychology*, *29*, 189-200. doi: 10.1016/j.newideapsych.2010.10.001
- 601 Chow, J. Y., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., & Button, C. (2013). The Acquisition of Movement  
602 Skill in Children through Nonlinear Pedagogy. In J. Côté & R. Lidor (Eds.),  
603 *Conditions of Children's Talent Development in Sport* (pp. 41-59). Morgantown, WV:  
604 FIT.
- 605 Collins, D., & MacNamara, A. (2012). The rocky road to the top: Why talent needs trauma.  
606 *Sports Medicine*, *42*(11), 907-914. doi: 10.2165/11635140-000000000-00000
- 607 Cordovil, R., Araújo, D., Davids, K., Gouveia, L., Barreiros, J., Fernandes, O., & Serpa, S.  
608 (2009). The influence of instructions and body-scaling as constraints on decision-  
609 making processes in team sports. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *9*(3), 169-179.
- 610 Côté, J., Baker, J., & Abernethy, B. (2003). From play to practice: A developmental  
611 framework for acquisition of expertise in team sports. In J. L. Starkes & K. A.

- 612 Ericsson (Eds.), *Expert Performance in Sports: Advances in Research on Sport*  
613 *Expertise* (pp. 89-113). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 614 Cottyn, J., De Clercq, D., Crombez, G., & Lenoir, M. (2012). The interaction of functional  
615 and dysfunctional emotions during balance beam performance. *Research Quarterly*  
616 *for Exercise and Sport*, 83(2), 300-307.
- 617 Davids, K. (2012). Learning design for nonlinear dynamical movement systems. *The Open*  
618 *Sports Sciences Journal*, 5(Suppl 1), 9-16. doi: 10.2174/1875399X01205010009
- 619 Davids, K., Araújo, D., Hristovski, R., Passos, P., & Chow, J. Y. (2012). Ecological  
620 dynamics and motor learning design in sport. In N. J. Hodges & A. M. Williams  
621 (Eds.), *Skill Acquisition in Sport: Research, Theory and Practice* (2nd ed., pp. 112-  
622 130). London: Routledge.
- 623 Davids, K., Araújo, D., Vilar, L., Renshaw, I., & Pinder, R. A. (2013). An ecological  
624 dynamics approach to skill acquisition: Implications for development of talent in  
625 sport. *Talent Development & Excellence*, 5, 21-34.
- 626 Davids, K., Glazier, P., Araújo, D., & Bartlett, R. (2003). Movement systems as dynamical  
627 systems: The functional role of variability and it's implications for sports medicine.  
628 *Sports Medicine*, 33(4), 245-260.
- 629 Davids, K., Williams, A. M., Button, C., & Court, M. (2001). An integrative modelling  
630 approach to the study of intentional movement behavior. In R. N. Singer, H. A.  
631 Hausenblas & C. M. Janelle (Eds.), *Handbook of Sport Psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 144-  
632 173). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- 633 Fingelkurts, A. A., & Fingelkurts, A., A. (2004). Making complexity simpler:  
634 Multivariability and metastability in the brain. *International Journal of Neuroscience*,  
635 114, 843-862. doi: 10.1080/00207450490450046

- 636 Freeman, W. J. (2000). Emotion is essential to all intentional behaviours. In M. D. Lewis & I.  
637 Granic (Eds.), *Emotion, Development, and Self-Organization: Dynamic Systems*  
638 *Approaches to Emotional Development* (pp. 209-235). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge  
639 University Press.
- 640 Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 641 Hanin, Y. L. (2007). Emotions in Sport: Current Issues and Perspectives. In G. Tenenbaum &  
642 R. C. Eklund (Eds.), *Handbook of Sport Psychology* (3rd ed.). Hoboken: John Wiley &  
643 & Sons, Inc.
- 644 Hanin, Y. L., & Hanina, M. (2009). Optimization of performance in top-level athletes: An  
645 action-focused coping approach. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*,  
646 4, 47-91. doi: 10.1260/1747-9541.4.1.47
- 647 Headrick, J., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., Araújo, D., Passos, P., & Fernandes, O. (2012).  
648 Proximity-to-goal as a constraint on patterns of behaviour in attacker-defender dyads  
649 in team games. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(3), 247-253. doi:  
650 10.1080/02640414.2011.640706
- 651 Hollis, G., Kloos, H., & Van Orden, G. C. (2009). Origins of order in cognitive activity. In S.  
652 J. Guastello, M. Koopmans & D. Pincus (Eds.), *Chaos and Complexity in Psychology:*  
653 *The Theory of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems* (pp. 206-241). New York, NY:  
654 Cambridge University Press.
- 655 Hristovski, R., Davids, K., Araújo, D., & Button, C. (2006). How boxers decide to punch a  
656 target: Emergent behaviour in nonlinear dynamical movement systems. *Journal of*  
657 *Sports Science and Medicine*, 5, 60-73.
- 658 Hutto, D. D. (2012). Truly enactive emotion. *Emotion Review*, 4(2), 176-181. doi:  
659 10.1177/1754073911430134
- 660 Jones, M. V. (2003). Controlling emotions in sport. *The Sport Psychologist*, 17, 471-486.

- 661 Juarrero, A. (2000). Dynamics in action: Intentional behaviour as a complex system.  
662 *Emergence*, 2(2), 24-57.
- 663 Kelso, J. A. S. (1995). *Dynamic Patterns: The Self-Organization of Brain and Behavior*.  
664 Cambridge: MIT press.
- 665 Kelso, J. A. S. (2012). Multistability and metastability: understanding dynamic coordination  
666 in the brain. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B:*  
667 *Biological Sciences*, 367, 906-918.
- 668 Kelso, J. A. S., & Tognoli, E. (2009). Toward a complementary neuroscience: Metastable  
669 coordination dynamics of the brain. In N. Murphy, G. F. R. Ellis & T. O'Connor  
670 (Eds.), *Downward Causation and the Neurobiology of Free Will* (pp. 103-124).  
671 Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- 672 LaBar, K. S., & Cabeza, R. (2006). Cognitive neuroscience of emotional memory. *Nature*  
673 *Reviews Neuroscience*, 7, 54-64. doi: 10.1038/nrn1825
- 674 Lane, A. M., Beedie, C. J., Jones, M. V., Uphill, M. A., & Devenport, T. J. (2012). The  
675 BASES expert statement on emotion regulation in sport. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,  
676 30(11), 1189-1195. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2012.693621
- 677 Lewis, M. D. (1996). Self-organising cognitive appraisals. *Cognition and Emotion*, 10, 1-26.  
678 doi: 10.1080/026999396380367
- 679 Lewis, M. D. (2000a). Emotional self-organization at three time scales. In M. D. Lewis & I.  
680 Granic (Eds.), *Emotion, Development, and Self-Organization: Dynamic Systems*  
681 *Approaches to Emotional Development* (pp. 37-69). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge  
682 University Press.
- 683 Lewis, M. D. (2000b). The promise of dynamic systems approaches for an integrated account  
684 of human development. *Child Development*, 71, 36-43. doi: 10.1111/1467-  
685 8624.00116

- 686 Lewis, M. D. (2002). Interacting time scales in personality (and cognitive) development:  
687 Intentions, emotions, and emergent forms. In N. Granott & J. Parziale (Eds.),  
688 *Microdevelopment: Transition Processes in Development and Learning* (pp. 183-  
689 212). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 690 Lewis, M. D. (2004). The emergence of mind in the emotional brain. In A. Demetriou & A.  
691 Raftopoulos (Eds.), *Cognitive developmental change*. New York: Cambridge  
692 University Press.
- 693 Lewis, M. D., & Granic, I. (2000). Introduction: A new approach to the study of emotional  
694 development. In M. D. Lewis & I. Granic (Eds.), *Emotion, Development, and Self-  
695 Organization: Dynamic Systems Approaches to Emotional Development* (pp. 1-12).  
696 Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 697 Newell, K. M. (1986). Constraints on the development of coordination. In M. G. Wade & H.  
698 T. A. Whiting (Eds.), *Motor development in children: Aspects of coordination and  
699 control* (pp. 341-360). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- 700 Newell, K. M., Liu, Y.-T., & Mayer-Kress, G. (2001). Time scales in motor learning and  
701 development. *Psychological Review*, *108*, 57-82.
- 702 Newell, K. M., & Valvano, J. (1998). Therapeutic intervention as a constraint in learning and  
703 relearning movement skills. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *5*, 51-57.
- 704 Oudejans, R. R. D. (2008). Reality-based practice under pressure improves handgun shooting  
705 performanc of police officers. *Ergonomics*, *51*(3), 261-273. doi:  
706 10.1080/00140130701577435
- 707 Oudejans, R. R. D., & Nieuwenhuys, A. (2009). Perceiving and moving in sports and other  
708 high-pressure contexts. *Progress in Brain Research*, *174*, 35-48. doi: 10.1016/S0079-  
709 6123(09)01304-1

- 710 Oudejans, R. R. D., & Pijpers, J. R. (2009). Training with anxiety has a positive effect on  
711 expert perceptual-motor performance under pressure. *The Quarterly Journal of*  
712 *Experimental Psychology*, 62(8), 1631-1647. doi: 10.1080/17470210802557702
- 713 Oudejans, R. R. D., & Pijpers, J. R. (2010). Training with mild anxiety may prevent choking  
714 under higher levels of anxiety. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 11, 44-50. doi:  
715 10.1016/j.psychsport.2009.05.002
- 716 Passos, P., Araújo, D., Davids, K., Gouveia, L., Milho, J., & Serpa, S. (2008). Information-  
717 governing dynamics of attacker-defender interactions in youth rugby union. *Journal*  
718 *of Sports Sciences*, 26(13), 1421-1429.
- 719 Pessoa, L. (2011). Reprint of: Emotion and cognition and the amygdala: From "what is it?" to  
720 "what's to be done?" *Neuropsychologia*, 49(4), 681-694.
- 721 Phillips, E., Davids, K., Araújo, D., & Renshaw, I. (2014). Talent Development and Expertise  
722 in Sport. In K. Davids, R. Hristovski, D. Araújo, N. Balagué, C. Button & P. Passos  
723 (Eds.), *Complex Systems in Sport* (pp. 241-260). London: Routledge.
- 724 Phillips, E., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., & Portus, M. (2010). Expert performance in sport and  
725 the dynamics of talent development. *Sports Medicine*, 40(4), 271-283.
- 726 Pijpers, J. R., Oudejans, R. R. D., & Bakker, F. C. (2005). Anxiety-induced changes in  
727 movement behaviour during the execution of a complex whole-body task. *The*  
728 *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 58A(3), 421-445. doi:  
729 10.1080/02724980343000945
- 730 Pinder, R. A., Davids, K., & Renshaw, I. (2012). Metastability and emergent performance of  
731 dynamic interceptive actions. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 15(5), 437-  
732 443. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2012.01.002



- 733 Pinder, R. A., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., & Araújo, D. (2011a). Manipulating informational  
734 constraints shapes movement reorganization in interceptive actions. *Attention,*  
735 *Perception & Psychophysics*, *73*, 1242 - 1254. doi: 10.3758/s13414-011-0102-1
- 736 Pinder, R. A., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., & Araújo, D. (2011b). Representative learning design  
737 and functionality of research and practice in sport. *Journal of Sport & Exercise*  
738 *Psychology*, *33*, 146-155.
- 739 Pinder, R. A., Renshaw, I., & Davids, K. (2013). The role of representative design in talent  
740 development: a comment on “Talent identification and promotion programmes of  
741 Olympic athletes”. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *31*(8), 803-806. doi:  
742 10.1080/02640414.2012.718090
- 743 Pinder, R. A., Renshaw, I., Headrick, J., & Davids, K. (2014). Skill acquisition and  
744 representative task design. In K. Davids, R. Hristovski, D. Araújo, N. Balagué, C.  
745 Button & P. Passos (Eds.), *Complex Systems in Sport* (pp. 319-333). London:  
746 Routledge.
- 747 Renshaw, I., Chappell, G. S., Fitzgerald, D., & Davison, J. (2010). *The battle zone:*  
748 *constraint-led coaching in action*. Paper presented at the Conference of Science,  
749 Medicine & Coaching in Cricket, Gold Coast, Queensland: Australia.
- 750 Renshaw, I., Chow, J. Y., Davids, K., & Hammond, J. (2010). A constraints-led perspective  
751 to understanding skill acquisition and game play: A basis for integration of motor  
752 learning theory and physical education praxis? *Physical Education & Sport*  
753 *Pedagogy*, *15*(2), 117-137.
- 754 Renshaw, I., Davids, K., Shuttleworth, R., & Chow, J. Y. (2009). Insights from ecological  
755 psychology and dynamical systems theory can underpin a philosophy of coaching.  
756 *International Journal of Psychology*, *40*(4), 540-602.

- 757 Renshaw, I., Headrick, J., & Davids, K. (2014). *Affective learning design: Building emotions*  
758 *into representative learning design*. Paper presented at the International Conference  
759 on Complex Systems and Applications, Le Havre, France.
- 760 Renshaw, I., Oldham, A. R. H., & Bawden, M. (2012). Nonlinear pedagogy underpins  
761 intrinsic motivation in sports coaching. *The Open Sports Sciences Journal*, 5(Suppl  
762 1), 88-99. doi: 10.2174/1875399X01205010088
- 763 Renshaw, I., Oldham, A. R. H., Davids, K., & Golds, T. (2007). Changing ecological  
764 constraints of practice alters coordination of dynamics interceptive actions. *European*  
765 *Journal of Sport Science*, 7(3), 157-167. doi: 10.1080/17461390701643026
- 766 Riley, M. A., Shockley, K., & Van Orden, G. (2012). Learning from the body about the mind.  
767 *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 4, 21-34. doi: 10.1111/j.1756-8765.2011.01163.x
- 768 Schöner, G., Zanone, P. G., & Kelso, J. A. S. (1992). Learning as change of coordination  
769 dynamics: Theory and experiment. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 24, 29-48. doi:  
770 10.1080/00222895.1992.9941599
- 771 Seifert, L., Button, C., & Davids, K. (2013). Key properties of expert movement systems in  
772 sport: An ecological dynamics perspective. *Sports Medicine*, 43(3), 167-178. doi:  
773 10.1007/s40279-012-0011-z
- 774 Seifert, L., & Davids, K. (2012). Intentions, perceptions and actions constrain functional intra  
775 - and inter - individual variability in the acquisition of expertise in individual sports.  
776 *The Open Sports Sciences Journal*, 5(Suppl 1), 68-75. doi:  
777 10.2174/1875399X01205010068
- 778 Seifert, L., Wattlebled, L., L'Hermette, M., Bideault, G., Herault, R., & Davids, K. (2013).  
779 Skill transfer, affordances and dexterity in different climbing environments. *Human*  
780 *Movement Science*, 32(6), 1339-1352. doi: 10.1016/j.humov.2013.06.006

- 781 Smith, L. B., & Thelen, E. (2003). Development as a dynamic system *Trends in Cognitive*  
782 *Sciences*, 7(8), 343-348. doi: 10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00156-6
- 783 Thelen, E., & Smith, L. B. (1994). *A Dynamic Systems Approach to the Development of*  
784 *Cognition and Action*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- 785 Travassos, B., Duarte, R., Vilar, L., Davids, K., & Araújo, D. (2012). Practice task design in  
786 team sports: Representativeness enhanced by increasing opportunities for action.  
787 *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(13), 1447-1454. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2012.712716
- 788 Vallacher, R. R., & Nowak, A. (2009). The dynamics of human experience: Fundamentals of  
789 dynamical social psychology. In S. J. Guastello, M. Koopmans & D. Pincus (Eds.),  
790 *Chaos and Complexity in Psychology: The Theory of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems*  
791 (pp. 370-401). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- 792 Vallerand, R. J., & Blanchard, C. M. (2000). The study of emotion in sport and exercise:  
793 Historical, definitional, and conceptual perspectives. In Y. L. Hanin (Ed.), *Emotions*  
794 *in Sport* (pp. 3-37). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 795 Warren, W. H. (2006). The dynamics of perception and action. *Psychological Review*,  
796 113(2), 358-389.
- 797 Withagen, R., de Poel, H. J., Araújo, D., & Pepping, G. (2012). Affordances can invite  
798 behaviour: Reconsidering the relationship between affordances and agency. *New*  
799 *Ideas in Psychology*, 30, 250-258.
- 800 Zanone, P. G., & Kelso, J. A. S. (1992). Evolution of behavioural attractors with learning:  
801 Nonequilibrium phase transitions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 18(2), 403-  
802 421. doi: 10.1037/0096-1523.18.2.403
- 803
- 804